1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA 3 4 In Re: Bard IVC Filters MD-15-02641-PHX-DGC Products Liability Litigation 5 Phoenix, Arizona March 22, 2018 6 Sherr-Una Booker, an individual, 7 Plaintiff, CV-16-00474-PHX-DGC 8 v. 9 C.R. Bard, Inc., a New Jersey corporation; and Bard Peripheral 10 Vascular, Inc., an Arizona corporation, 11 12 Defendants. Amended 1.3 14 15 BEFORE: THE HONORABLE DAVID G. CAMPBELL, JUDGE 16 REPORTER'S AMENDED TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 17 TRIAL DAY 6 A.M. SESSION 18 (Pages 1079 - 1209) 19 20 21 Official Court Reporter: Patricia Lyons, RMR, CRR 2.2. Sandra Day O'Connor U.S. Courthouse, Ste. 312 401 West Washington Street, SPC 41 23 Phoenix, Arizona 85003-2150 (602) 322-7257 24 Proceedings Reported by Stenographic Court Reporter 25 Transcript Prepared with Computer-Aided Transcription

1 APPEARANCES 2 For the Plaintiff: 3 Lopez McHugh By: RAMON ROSSI LOPEZ, ESQ. 4 100 Bayview Circle, Suite 5600 Newport Beach, CA 92660 5 Gallagher & Kennedy 6 By: MARK S. O'CONNOR, ESQ. 2575 East Camelback Road, Suite 1100 7 Phoenix, AZ 85016 8 Heaviside Reed Zaic By: JULIA REED ZAIC, ESQ. 9 312 Broadway, Ste. 203 Laguna Beach, CA 92651 10 Watkins Lourie Roll & Chance, PC 11 By: ROBIN P. LOURIE, ESQ. Tower Place 200 12 3348 Peachtree Rd. NE Atlanta, GA 30326 1.3 Faraci Lange, LLP 14 By: HADLEY L. MATARAZZO, ESQ. 28 E. Main St., Ste. 1100 15 Rochester, NY 14614 16 Babbitt & Johnson, PA By: JOSEPH R. JOHNSON, ESQ. 17 1641 Worthington Rd., Ste. 100 W. Palm Beach, FL 33409 18 For Defendants: 19 20 Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough By: RICHARD B. NORTH, JR., ESQ. 21 By: ELIZABETH C. HELM, ESQ. By: BRANDEE J. KOWALZYK, ESQ. 2.2. 201 17th Street NW, Suite 1700 Atlanta, GA 30363 23 Snell & Wilmer 24 By: JAMES R. CONDO, ESQ. 400 East Van Buren 25 Phoenix, AZ 85004

1	INDEX				
2		EXAMINATION			
3	WITNESS		PAGE		
4	ROBERT M.	CARR, JR.			
5		Direct Examination (Cont'd) By Mr. Lo	pez 1096		
6	SHERR-UNA	BOOKER			
7		Direct Examination By Mr. O'Connor	1152		
8		Cross-Examination By Ms. Helm	1191		
9		EXHIBITS			
10	NUMBER	<u>DESCRIPTION</u> <u>PA</u>	<u>GE</u>		
11	1062	Edwards Deposition,	1119		
12		01/20/2014 - Exhibit 14 - BPV PowerPoint presentation			
13		entitled "BPV/AngioMed New Product Development Review Meeting - April 26, 2004"			
14	730	Carr Deposition, 04/17/2013 -	1123		
15	750	Exhibit 01 - Class of Plaintiffs' Notice of Taking	1125		
16		Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition Duces Tecum in Case No.			
17		12-80951- CIV-ROSENBAUM			
18	5343	Sep. 17, 2004 FDA Contact Report re Recovery IFU and	1133		
19		DDL			
20	764	Carr Deposition, 11/05/2013 - Exhibit 14 - 5/27/2004 E-mail	1145		
21		b/w Greer, Carr, Hudnall, and Sullivan re. "Bariatric			
22		patients and filters"			
23	4391	Summary of Medical Bills	1187		
24					
25					

# (Index of Exhibits Continued)

# **EXHIBITS**

۷			
3	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
4	2399	Billing Record:	1187
5		Cardiothoracic Surgeons billing	
6	2400	Billing Record: MetroAtlanta Ambulance billing	1187
7	2401	Billing Record: Piedmont	1187
8	2401	Healthcare Atlanta billing	1107
9	2403	Billing Record: Wellstar North Fulton billing	1187
10	6643	Hospital Admission History	1191
11	0010	and Physical: Lincoln Medical Center	1131
12	6652	Consent: IVC filter placement	1191
13	6656	ER Visit: Lincoln Medical	1191
14	0030	Center	1191
15	6660	Admission History & Physical: New York Methodist Hospital	1191
16	6667	ER Visit: Lincoln Medical	1191
17		Center	
18	6669	CT scan: Abdomen/pelvis	1191
19	6681	ER Visit: Gwinnett Medical Center	1191
20	6683	ER Visit: Gwinnett Medical	1191
21	0003	Center	1191
22	6693	ER Visit: Eastside Medical Center	1191
23	6696		1191
24	0000	ER Visit: Piedmont Hospital: Henry County	1191
25			

# (Index of Exhibits Continued)

### **EXHIBITS**

2		<u>EXHIBITS</u>			
3	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE		
4	6699	ER Visit: Gwinnett Medical center	1191		
5 6	6741	ER Visit: Piedmont Hospital-Atlanta	1191		
7	6748	ER Visit: Gwinnett Medical Center	1191		
9	6751	Office visit: Gwinnett Medical Group: Cardiology	1191		
10	6756	ER Visit	1191		
11	6768	Office Visit: Gwinnett Medical Group: Cardiology	1191		
12	6770	ER visit	1191		
13 14	6778	Office Visit: Gwinnett Consultants in Cardiology	1191		
15	6780	Gwinnett Consultants in Cardiology: Letter(s)	1191		
16 17	6668	Lumbosacral Spine X-ray	1191		
18 19	2312	Medical Record: Medical Records of Gwinnett Consultants in Cardiology running notes from nurse	1191		
20	2378	Medical Record: Medical records of Gwinnett Medical 11/05/2014-ER	1191		
22	6745	Discharge Summary: Piedmont Hospital-Atlanta	1191		
23					
25					

## 10:01:31 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 (Proceedings resumed in open court outside the presence 3 of the jury.) 08:29:54 5 THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. Morning, everybody. 6 7 EVERYBODY: Morning, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Well, let me first mention something. 9 Counsel, I received -- I received four more 08:30:23 10 deposition designations last night. I'm afraid those fall 11 into the same category as Sullivan. 12 MS. HELM: Your Honor, those are actually defense 13 designations. We're fine. We don't anticipate using them 14 until next week. 08:30:37 15 THE COURT: Okay. So I can do those on the weekend? 16 MS. HELM: Yes, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Okay. And I'm assuming Sullivan is this week or you're not going to use him; is that right? 18 MS. MATARAZZO: Yes, Your Honor, we're not going to 19 use Mr. Sullivan's testimony. 08:30:51 20 21 MR. LOPEZ: We may decide to read some, Your Honor, 22 like you suggested. We're still making that decision. 23 THE COURT: That's fine. Okay. 24 Plaintiff's counsel, do you have matters that you 08:31:03 25 want to raise this morning before we get started?

08:32:28 25

MS. MATARAZZO: Yes, Your Honor. I have one issue to raise, if you're ready.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. MATARAZZO: Your Honor, we had an issue with Dr. Rogers. We had designated testimony of Dr. Rogers. We sent it to defense counsel, I believe a week ago or more than a week ago. We followed up twice. We sent it March 13th. We followed up twice, once on March 17th and once on March 18th, and we never received their counterdesignations or objections.

So we addressed this last night with them, saying we had a very, very short clip, eight-minute clip of Dr. Rogers that we wanted to play. I'm not sure what the current position on that is.

We also created a background summary for Dr. Rogers, and we can't reach agreement on that. And I think one of the main sticking points on that is we have a sentence in there that says that Dr. Rogers was not compensated by Ms. Booker or her attorneys for his time.

So it doesn't say what side Dr. Rogers is an expert for, but every other expert that's been put on the stand so far has been cross-examined about time and payment, and so we think it's important that it's at least understood before Dr. Rogers is played that we're not paying for his testimony.

I have a copy of the background statement if you'd like to see it, Your Honor, as well as the run. I think we

08:33:53 25

were very careful to make sure it doesn't violate your order that the testimony not be cumulative. It narrowed it strictly to paper that was authored by Dr. Rogers that talks only about the efficacy of filters and what the efficacy finding was. We took out everything else that's already been discussed by other experts and fact witnesses at this trial.

THE COURT: So is he a former Bard expert?

MS. MATARAZZO: Correct.

THE COURT: Okay.

Defense counsel, what are your responses?

MR. NORTH: Yes, Your Honor. We had some doubt as to whether they've made the showing about cumulative testimony that was set forth in the Court's order about this, but we're not going to argue about eight minutes.

The only thing we're going to contest is in this summary, the background summary, they want to say the following line: He was not compensated by Ms. Booker or her attorneys for his time. We think that's implicitly trying to suggest to the jury, in violation of the Court's order, that he's a defense expert, which the Court ruled under 403 could not be brought forth in front of the jury.

We therefore think that one line should be stricken from the summary, and they can just talk about his background. He's not identified as an expert for either side, and let the jury hear the eight minutes. But we do believe that saying he

08:33:56 1 was not compensated by Ms. Booker, by inference, is suggesting 2 he was compensated by the other side. 3 MS. MATARAZZO: Your Honor, to be clear, it's our 4 position there's been questioning of every single expert about who they're paid by. 08:34:15 6 THE COURT: I understand your point. It is an 7 interesting issue. And it is true that every expert has been 8 asked about their compensation. 9 It is also true that I've indicated that I think 403 prevents you from indicating that he's a former Bard expert. 08:34:26 10 11 MS. MATARAZZO: Your Honor, if I may, we specifically 12 chose language that doesn't say anything about --13 THE COURT: Well, I understand your point. I also understand Mr. North's point. 14 MS. MATARAZZO: We're prejudiced if the jury thinks 08:34:50 15 we're paying yet another person. 16 17 THE COURT: I understand that. They think they're prejudiced if the implication is they paid him. There's a 18 reasonable argument on each side here. 19 When are you planning to play this? 08:35:02 20 21 MS. MATARAZZO: In the afternoon, Your Honor. 2.2. THE COURT: In the afternoon. I'm not sure thinking 23 about it longer will result in any illumination. Let me think 24 about it for a minute. I understand the issue. 08:35:18 25 I take it there's no disagreement on the eight

08:35:20 1 minutes that --2 MR. NORTH: No. 3 THE COURT: It's really just that one question? 4 MS. MATARAZZO: Yes, Your Honor. It's just testimony 08:35:28 5 about that one journal article. 6 THE COURT: But I mean there's just disagreement 7 about the one --8 MS. MATARAZZO: Oh, I'm sorry. Yes, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: -- line. 08:35:32 10 Okay. Yeah, let me think about that for a couple of 11 minutes. 12 Are there other matters the plaintiffs want to raise? 13 MR. O'CONNOR: I just had one small point. THE COURT: Go ahead. 14 08:35:50 15 MR. O'CONNOR: Your Honor, this is really a minor point. And today we're putting on the plaintiff --16 17 THE COURT: This courtroom is actually very -- it's very deceptive. For some reason, when people are speaking 18 they can hear themselves fine, and it's amazing how the sound 19 08:36:12 20 dies with distance. So go ahead. MR. O'CONNOR: Your protocol -- this is a minor 21 22 point, so I apologize if it sounds naive. It's not a great 23 question, but your protocol says address everybody as Mr. or 24 Ms. We have a plaintiff that everybody on our team calls 08:36:32 25 Sheri. That's who she is.

THE COURT: That's fine. You can call her Sheri. 08:36:34 1 2 MR. O'CONNOR: Pardon me? THE COURT: You can call her Sheri. 3 4 MR. O'CONNOR: Thank you. And her son will be 5 testifying too. 08:36:41 6 THE COURT: You can call him by his first name. 7 MR. O'CONNOR: Thank you. 8 THE COURT: All right. Do plaintiffs have other 9 matters you want to raise? 08:36:50 10 MS. REED ZAIC: Your Honor, a concern. Yesterday you shared with us that the jury was not very thrilled about more 11 12 video depositions. We have more to play today, and it struck 13 me that although we can't discuss there's 3,000 cases filed here, there's a reason why we're all here and why the 14 08:37:09 15 plaintiff is from Georgia and -- here -- and why we can't get 16 some of these witnesses actually into court. And I just don't 17 want either side to be prejudiced by the fact that we're playing videos, because we don't have much choice. 18 I completely understand. I don't own a television, 19 08:37:25 20 but that's -- that can be almost punitive, in my opinion, to sit for 45 minutes sometimes and watch a screen. 21 2.2. THE COURT: What are you proposing? 23 MS. REED ZAIC: I would propose maybe just an 24 informal mention, or whatever the Court would think is most 08:37:40 25 appropriate. But I was struck by that, and I would not want

08:37:44 1 that to prejudice either side. 2 THE COURT: How about if I say this morning to them 3 that I learned through Nancy that some of them are tired of 4 watching video, and we all understand that, but the necessity of this complex trial with lots of witnesses requires us to 08:37:57 5 6 present some testimony in as concise and direct a form as we 7 can. There are some witnesses who can't make it here, so some 8 of it has to be by video and we're going to ask them to watch 9 a bit more, please pay close attention. Something like that. 08:38:16 10 MS. REED ZAIC: I think that would be -- that would 11 be good. Maybe the mention of the fact in a complex cases, 12 even if it's all over the country, I think people understand you can't just jump on a plane and --13 14 THE COURT: Any objection to that? 08:38:27 15 MR. NORTH: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. I'll say that. And if I forget, 16 17 remind me, but I'll try to remember to say that when they come 18 in. 19 MS. REED ZAIC: I will do that. Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Matters from defendant? 08:38:35 20 The only thing I wanted to mention, 21 MR. NORTH: 2.2. Your Honor, is regarding the briefing on the FDA warning 23 letter. Ms. Zaic and I have agreed, subject to the Court's 24 approval, of course, to submit no more than three-page 08:38:47 25 submissions by 5 o'clock on Saturday.

THE COURT: 5 o'clock on Saturday is fine, but I 08:38:53 1 2 don't work on Sunday. So I won't look at that until probably 3 Monday night. I don't know if that is soon enough. If you 4 want me to look at it on Saturday, then I need to get it 08:39:04 5 earlier than 5 o'clock on Saturday. 6 MR. NORTH: It doesn't -- that really doesn't matter 7 from our standpoint. I don't know about Ms. Zaic. Because 8 we'll be in the middle of our case Monday and Tuesday. Monday 9 morning? Sunday afternoon? Whatever the Court wants. 08:39:19 10 THE COURT: Well, 5:00 on Saturday is fine. I just 11 want you to know that I won't be getting you an order out on 12 Sunday afternoon if I get it on --1.3 MR. NORTH: Sure. MS. REED ZAIC: In other words, you're giving us 14 permission to work on Sunday. 08:39:31 15 16 THE COURT: No, I don't want to you work on Sunday. 17 But if you do -- my point is if -- whenever you submit it is fine, but if it gets in Saturday evening, I won't get to it 18 until sometime on Monday, and we'll be in trial on Monday, so 19 08:39:44 20 it will be sometime Monday evening probably. 21 MR. NORTH: Okay. 2.2 MS. REED ZAIC: Okay. 23 THE COURT: Anything else? 24 MS. HELM: Yes, Your Honor. I spoke with Mr. Lopez 08:39:52 25 this morning. There's an exhibit, it's Exhibit 2057, which I

```
believe inadvertently has attached to it a document that
08:39:58
         1
         2
              you've ruled is work product, and we have an agreement that
          3
               that will be withdrawn from Exhibit 2057. So -- and the
              plaintiffs will make sure that the correct exhibit is in the
08:40:12
               record.
         6
                        MS. REED ZAIC: I've already communicated that. It
         7
              was actually inadvertent. That was priorly admitted in a
         8
              previous action, and I think it just got carried forth, I
               think. We'll take it out.
08:40:24 10
                        THE COURT: I'm assuming there's nothing we have to
         11
               do in front of the jury; we just have to make sure the correct
         12
               version is in the electronic form?
         13
                       MS. HELM: That's right.
                        THE COURT: Okay.
         14
08:40:34 15
                       MS. HELM: Thank you, Your Honor.
                        THE COURT: Are we continuing this morning with
         16
         17
              Mr. Carr?
                        MR. LOPEZ: Yes, Your Honor.
         18
         19
                        THE COURT: Is that the plan?
                        Okay. All right. I will come in a few minutes
08:40:39 20
         21
              before -- well, when the jury gets here at 9:00.
         22
                        MR. NORTH: Thank you, Your Honor.
         23
                        MR. LOPEZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
         24
                    (Recess was taken from 8:40 to 8:55. Proceedings resumed
08:40:47 25
               in open court outside the presence of the jury.)
```

08:55:01 1 THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. 2 Counsel, on the Dr. Rogers issue, here's a thought 3 I've had. His testimony is not being presented as an expert 4 retained by Bard. I've said that the plaintiffs can't do 08:55:27 that. But he's not being presented as an expert retained by plaintiff either. 6 7 How about if your sentence simply says Dr. Rogers is 8 not being presented as an expert retained by either party. 9 That's true, because it's not being presented by Bard as one 08:55:45 10 of their experts, but it makes clear you haven't retained and 11 compensated him, but it avoids this implication that the other 12 side did. 1.3 MS. MATARAZZO: I think that's fine, Your Honor. only other thought was that we could tell the jury don't 14 08:56:00 15 assume that a person testifying is being compensated by the 16 other party if there's no testimony about it. 17 MR. LOPEZ: That's better. I like yours better. 18 THE COURT: Okay. So let's just say Dr. Rogers is not being presented as an expert retained by either party. 19 08:56:16 20 MS. MATARAZZO: That's fine, Your Honor. Thank you. 21 THE COURT: Okay. 2.2. All right. Traci, shall we see if the jury happens 23 to be here early? 24 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Sure. 08:57:11 25 (The jury entered the courtroom at 8:57.)

18

19

21

2.2.

23

24

08:59:12 20

08:59:26 25

THE COURT: Please be seated.

All right. Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Thanks for being here this morning.

We're going to continue with Mr. Carr this morning, but before we do I wanted to mention something.

Nancy told me that yesterday when you were exiting through the hall, one or two of you, she didn't say who, said something like, Please, no more video depositions.

We want you to know that we understand that sentiment. We don't get any more thrill out of watching them than you do. But the reality is that these witnesses are from all over the country, as you can tell, and not all of them can be here for trial and the logistics of trial makes that difficult. So some of them have to be presented by video deposition.

There will be some more. We're doing our best to keep them to a minimum. But please do your best to pay attention to that testimony, because it is as important as any other testimony in the case, and that's just the method we need to use to present it.

There may also be some deposition testimony that's presented by reading to you. That's a little even less thrilling than video, but that's done to even move things along more quickly. So if you can do your best to treat that all as testimony, we would appreciate it.

#### Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 17 DD 931

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR. 08:59:28 1 Go ahead, Mr. Lopez. 2 MR. LOPEZ: Thanks, Your Honor. 3 ROBERT M. CARR, JR., 4 recalled as a witness herein, after having been previously 08:59:31 5 sworn or affirmed, was examined and testified as follows: 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONTINUED) 7 BY MR. LOPEZ: 8 Good morning, Mr. Carr. 0 Good morning. Α 08:59:35 10 How are you? Q 11 I'm well, thank you. Α 12 0 Good. 13 So let's just from yesterday clarify a couple of things. I asked you whether or not the goal of bench testing 14 was to replicate what would happen in a real human being. 08:59:43 15 In 16 other words, once you implanted a device in a human being. Do 17 you remember that? Yes, I do. 18 Α And, in fact, the goal of bench testing is to do that, is 19 09:00:01 20 to see -- to design that testing as close to the human anatomy, the way a human being functions, the way that --21 22 their activities of daily living, so that if you put it in a 23 human being, your testing is telling you that it has a high 24 likelihood of being safe. True?

Yes. You do bench testing to do your best to simulate the

09:00:20 25

Α

#### Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 18 D0 981

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

- op:00:26 1 environment the device will be used in. In this case, that's a human, yes.
  - Q Okay. Now, when you were at NMT, they had planned a European clinical trial in addition to the study Dr. Asch had done. True?
  - A It was talked about, yes.

3

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

16

19

21

22

23

24

09:00:45

09:01:01 10

09:01:19 15

09:01:32 20

09:01:55 25

- Q And it was a trial that Dr. Asch was told was going to be done after his pilot study. True?
- A Again, it was talked about. It was never committed to.
- Q Well, it wasn't committed to Dr. Asch?
- A I don't believe so, no.
- Q But what we do know is that when Bard took over the Recovery filter, the idea of a long-term safety study was abandoned. True?
  - A I'm not sure of that, no.
  - Q Well, has there been one since on the Recovery filter?
- 17 A No, there was no more clinical trials on the Recovery
  18 filter.
  - Q Okay. Yesterday there was some questions I asked you where you had used the word "approval" as relates to the G2 filter. That is, in fact, a violation of federal regulations to suggest that the G2 filter, either as a permanent device or a retrievable device, is approved. True?
  - A That it's approved for use?
  - Q That it was approved by FDA to be marketed. Approved.

#### Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 19 DD 931

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

- A The FDA concurs with us, yes.
- Q It's called clearance, isn't it?
- A No, it's called concurrence.
- Q Concurrence. In fact, it's not an approval by the FDA of the long-term safety and effectiveness of the product. True?
- A I'm not sure of that wording, no.
  - Q Okay. We talked yesterday about -- and it was Exhibit 5303.
  - MR. LOPEZ: And if we can put that back up.

#### BY MR. LOPEZ:

09:02:00

09:02:11

09:02:28 10

09:02:38 15

09:02:49 20

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

- Q I think we were right at the end of talking about that when we broke.
- THE COURT: Excuse me, folks. Somebody has their phone on. Please make sure your phones are turned off or are on airplane mode.
- MR. LOPEZ: I learned that the other day. You hit airplane mode, you have to just hit it again where it says approved. I didn't do that the other day, Judge. So I apologize.
- THE COURT: That static we're hearing is a phone, so please make sure your phones are off.
  - MR. LOPEZ: Greg, can we put up 5303, please.
- And go to the last page. I think we were right on that last page when we broke yesterday.

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

```
BY MR. LOPEZ:
09:03:14
         1
          2
                   Sir, we talked about this yesterday right before we broke.
          3
              Do you recall that?
                   Yes, I do.
09:03:19
                   And we showed yesterday when the G2 filter was being
          6
               tested, and one of the tests for migration resistance against
          7
               the Simon Nitinol filter failed. Remember that one test?
          8
                   Yes, I do. Failed acceptance criteria.
                  Right.
               Q
09:03:38 10
                        MR. LOPEZ: Your Honor, can I publish this to the
         11
               jury, please?
         12
                        THE COURT: You may.
         13
               BY MR. LOPEZ:
                   And what Bard did on its own, used their own discretion,
         14
09:03:49 15
               decided to change that criteria for that test for the
         16
               resistance to be statistically greater than the Recovery
         17
               filter. True?
                   The specification was changed, yes, and we spoke with the
         18
               FDA about it and they agreed that that was appropriate.
         19
09:04:08 20
                        MR. LOPEZ: Move to strike. Nonresponsive. Hearsay.
         21
                        THE COURT: Overruled.
         22
               BY MR. LOPEZ:
         23
                   Now, the original predicate for the G2 filter was supposed
         24
               to be the Simon Nitinol filter; correct?
09:04:23 25
               Α
                   No.
```

### Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 21 pt 991

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

- 09:04:24 1 Q Well, what we do know is that the predicate device that
  2 was used to clear the G2 was the Recovery filter. True?
  - A I'd have to read the report itself, but yes, Recovery was a predicate.
    - Q Meaning that, in Ms. Hudnall's words, it just didn't need to be any worse than the Recovery filter for the FDA to clear it. Isn't that right?
    - A I don't know what Ms. Hudnall said.
      - Q Well, let's assume she said that.
      - A No.

3

6

7

8

9

14

18

19

21

22

09:05:23 20

09:05:37 25

09:04:39

09:04:54 10

- Q Ms. Hudnall said that as long as it wasn't worse than the Recovery filter it would clear through the 510(k) process.
- 13 I sn't that true?
  - A I'm not going to assume Mrs. Hudnall said that.
- O9:05:05 15 Q Okay. What we do know is it doesn't have -- it just has
  to be as safe and effective or substantially equivalent to the
  predicate device. In this case that was the Recovery filter.
  - A So it needs to be substantially equivalent to its predicate, yes.
  - Q And all you had to do is give the FDA some bench testing about that to show that substantial equivalence?
  - A No.
  - 23 Q Did you give them clinical data?
  - 24 A No, we did not.
    - Q No clinical data existed; correct?

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

- A Not for the permanent indication, no.
- Q In fact, when the G2 entered the market, Bard had no idea how the G2 device was going to behave in a human being. True?
- A No, that's not true.
  - Q Oh, you knew it was going to caudally migrate, sometimes three, four centimeters, when you marketed it?
- A No, we didn't.

09:05:40

09:05:56

09:06:12 10

09:06:25 15

09:06:42 20

09:07:07 25

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

- Q Did you know it was going to have the tilting and fracture problems that were reported to you in the first three or four months it was on the market?
- A We knew that tilting and fracture are complications that are associated with all vena cava filters, including the G2.
  - Q Okay. I didn't ask you that.
    - A Yes, we knew that.
    - Q I asked you specifically with respect to the G2. So you knew when you launched and marketed the G2 without a clinical trial that it was going to have an unacceptable risk of caudal migration within the first six months it was on the market?

      Yes or no?
  - A No.
    - Q You didn't change the design of the G2 filter for how many years?
    - A I'm not sure exactly. A couple years later we ended up electropolishing the device, and we added a hook to the device. I don't know the exact timing of those events.

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

- 1 Q I'm talking about the G2 filter. The only thing you did
  2 to the G2 filter in the six years it was on the market was to
  3 put a hook at the top of it. True?
  - A No.

09:07:19

09:07:33 10

09:07:55 15

09:08:06 20

09:08:16 25

6

9

11

12

14

16

17

18

19

- Q You had another G2 filter that had something other than a hook on it?
- 7 A The filter that's called Eclipse was an electropolished version of the G2.
  - Q Well, sir, that wasn't the G2. That was the Eclipse. I'm talking about the G2 that stayed on the market after you had actually launched the Eclipse. That G2. No design changes other than a hook at the top of it. True?
- 13 A Not to the filter, no.
  - Q Now, you're familiar with the 510(k) process?
  - A Yes.
  - Q We talked about the predicate device, didn't we, a little bit earlier? We talked about whether or not it was going to be the G2 or the Recovery filter. We just talked about it about ten minutes ago.
    - A No. You said that, but we didn't talk about it.
- 21 Q Okay.
- A predicate device has to be a legally marketed device. True?
- 24 A Yes.
  - Q It cannot be an adulterated device, can it be?

#### Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 24 pf 03/2

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

- A I'd have to read the regulation, but I don't think so, no.
- Q And it can't be a device that shouldn't be on the market.
- 3 True?

1

2

7

8

9

11

19

21

09:08:20

09:08:30

09:08:47 10

09:09:06 15

09:09:18 20

- A I don't know what that means.
- Q All right. It has to be a legally marketed device.
- 6 That's clear from the regulations; right?
  - A Yes.
    - Q And if it's not being legally marketed, then it should not and cannot be used as a predicate device to get clearance for another device. True?
  - A If that were the case, yes, that would be true.
- Q And there was some discussions yesterday about the IFU and the labeling and what could be in the labeling and what doctors can be advised of.
  - Well, labeling involves a lot more than an IFU.
- 16 True?
- 17 A I don't remember any conversation about labeling. I'm
  18 sorry.
  - Q Okay. Then follow my question: Labeling is much more than an IFU; right?
  - A Yes.
- 22 THE COURT: Excuse me, Mr. Lopez. Sorry.
- Everybody take out your phone. Everybody take it

  out. Double-check it. We've still got a phone on in the

  courtroom. Let's just turn them all off, if you would,

#### Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 25 pt 031

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR. 09:09:35 1 please. 2 Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Lopez. 3 BY MR. LOPEZ: Labeling is more than an IFU. True? 09:09:55 Yes. 6 In other words, if the company wanted to use some means of 7 communication to get out some of the information we've talked 8 about here in this courtroom about some of the issues and 9 problems that Bard was having with the G2 filter, they didn't 09:10:11 10 have to put it in fine print in an IFU. True? 11 They didn't have to put it in fine print? I don't know 12 what you're talking about, but no, you don't to have put it in 13 fine print. 14 Should we open up the -- you want to open up the Recovery 09:10:25 15 box and see how fine and -- strike that. 16 You know that there are other means of communication, 17 is the bottom line; right? 18 Α With respect to what, sir? Just going out and getting messages to doctors about the 19 09:10:38 20 good things and maybe some of the problems you were having with your filters. 21 22 There are many ways to communicate. 23 That's my point. You have a sales force that can do that; 24 right?

09:10:50 25

Α

Yes.

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

- Q If you had something that was really vital to patient safety, you wouldn't have to wait to redo a multi-page IFU, stick it in the box of the G2, and send it to the doctor. You could you send that messaging out directly to doctors, couldn't you?
- A Not without approval.

09:10:51

09:11:07

09:11:20 10

09:11:43 15

09:11:59 20

09:12:16 25

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

- Q Not without approval? You can't go out and tell doctors you have a problem with your device on your own?
- A First off, we don't have a problem with our device. And second off, those types of communications we typically run by the FDA before we would do that.
- Q Typically. But there's nothing to prevent you, sir, if you have a serious safety issue with your product, from getting that message out through your sales force, through E-Blast to physicians, to other forms of communication other than putting it in an IFU. True?
- A I don't know the answer to that in total.
- Q You don't know the answer to that. Okay.

A There are certain things we can communicate and certain things we go through the FDA for, and I don't know which of those that you're speaking of.

How about in part do you know the answer to it?

Q Well, you understand that any time you communicate about your product, whether it be in a hospital where you're putting on your displays and having doctors go by, or you're having a

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

- convention, a medical convention, or whether or not your salespeople are going into the doctors' offices, it has to be what's called fair balanced. True?
- A I'm not sure what you're saying.
- Q You're not familiar with the term "fair balance" when it comes to the marketing of a medical device?
- A Yes.

09:12:19

09:12:32

09:12:43 10

09:12:59 15

09:13:16 20

09:13:33 25

1

2

3

6

7

8

11

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

- O What does fair balance mean?
- A I think it's self-explanatory.
- Q Okay. I want to know what Bard's definition of fair balance is.
- 12 A I don't know what Bard's definition is.
- Q Okay. What is Mr. Carr's definition of fair balance marketing of its medical devices?
  - A I don't know how to explain it, other than the words "fair" and "balanced."
  - Q Meaning you can't overstate what you think are the great things about the product and the benefits of the product and downplay the risk; right?
  - A I don't know for sure, but --
  - Q Well, I'm trying to figure out what's self-explanatory. So apparently it's not that self-explanatory.

Does fair balance mean that when you present your product, you have to give an equal amount of time to the benefit of the product and equal amount of transparency to the

### Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 28 pf 081

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.
               risks involved in the product? That's fair balance; right?
09:13:39
         1
          2
                   I don't know.
          3
                        MR. LOPEZ: Can we bring up the brochure.
          4
                        This is a good time to talk about fair balance.
09:13:58
          5
                        2045, please.
          6
                        I think that's already in evidence, Your Honor, 2045.
          7
                        If it is, I'd like to publish it.
          8
                        THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: It is in.
          9
                        THE COURT: You may.
09:14:19 10
                        MR. LOPEZ: Thank you.
               BY MR. LOPEZ:
         11
         12
                   Sir, this is the G2 brochure. Do you recognize it?
         13
               Α
                   Yes.
                   I think you helped Ms. Hudnall design this brochure;
         14
09:14:36 15
               right?
         16
                   I certainly reviewed it.
               Α
         17
                   In prior depositions you told us that you and Ms. Hudnall
               together helped design this brochure. Do you recall that?
         18
                   I'll accept that.
         19
               Α
09:14:49 20
                   And this brochure is labeling; right? This is a label?
               0
                   It is labeling, right.
         21
               Α
         22
                   The same requirements for this brochure you have for any
         23
               other communication that would come under the term "labeling."
         24
               True?
09:15:09 25
               Α
                   I don't know for sure. I'd have to read the regulation.
```

### Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 29 pf 431

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR. But it is labeling. 09:15:11 1 2 And when it comes to a marketing tool like this, this fair 3 balance requirement comes into play; right? Again, I'd have to read the regulation. 09:15:33 Let's look at page 2. 6 And, by the way, this is the G2 --7 MR. LOPEZ: If I didn't ask, Your Honor, may I 8 publish this to the jury? I think I already asked, but I want 9 to make sure. 09:15:45 10 THE COURT: It's published. 11 MR. LOPEZ: Okay. 12 BY MR. LOPEZ: 13 Look at page 2, please. 14 "Introducing G2 vena cava filter for permanent 09:15:53 15 placement. The G2 combines the best design features of Bard's existing vena cava filters." 16 17 Did I read that correctly? 18 Α Yes. What were the existing vena cava filters at the time that 19 09:16:11 20 the G2 was launched? 21 They were the Simon Nitinol filter and the Recovery 22 filter. 23 And at one point in time it would not have included the 24 Recovery filter, right, as an existing vena cava filter 09:16:29 25 because you stopped selling it.

### Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 30 pf 181

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

- A I don't understand the question.
- Q At one time, the Recovery filter was not an existing vena cava filter. True?
  - A It was at the time of this document.
  - Q At one time in -- in the -- in time, the Recovery filter was no longer an existing vena cava filter that Bard sold.
- 7 True?

09:16:33

09:16:47

09:17:05 10

09:17:23 15

09:17:35 20

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

11

12

13

16

18

19

21

- A That's correct.
  - Q It was very shortly after the G2 filter was launched in 2005 that the Recovery filter was no longer, quote, an existing vena cava filter. Right?
  - A We no longer sold -- we stopped selling the Recovery filter at some point.
- 14 MR. LOPEZ: Greg, could you --
  - BY MR. LOPEZ:
  - Q And that was before 2006; correct?
- 17 A Yes.
  - MR. LOPEZ: Could we go down -- could you just -- we'll come back to this page, but can you go to the last page of this exhibit. And I hope you can blow it up. And at the very bottom it has a date.
- 22 BY MR. LOPEZ:
- 23 Q This was in 2005; correct? This brochure?
- 24 A Yes.
- 09:17:51 25 📗 Q I think you were designated as Bard's most qualified and

#### Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 31 pf 191

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

- most knowledgeable person at a deposition for purposes of discussing this brochure. Do you recall that?
  - Not particularly, no. Α

09:17:59

09:18:14

09:18:25 10

1

2

3

7

8

9

11

14

17

19

09:19:08 20

- You were a 30(b)(6) deponent.
- I have been several times. I don't remember the subject 6 matter each time.
  - We'll come back to that. I'll remind you about that in a little bit.
    - Did this brochure's content ever change at any time that the G2 filter was on the market?
  - A I don't know.
- 12 MR. LOPEZ: Can we go back to the second page, Greg, 13 please.
  - BY MR. LOPEZ:
- 09:18:36 15 Now, it also reads after "existing vena cava filters," 16 "increased migration resistance, improved centering, enhanced
  - 18 Yes, it does.
    - And that was against the existing vena cava filters that Bard had sold or was selling. True?
  - 21 Α No.

Α

- 22 Q No?
- 23 Α It was against Recovery only. No.

fracture resistance." Correct?

24 So when a doctor got this brochure and he saw "existing 0 09:19:23 25 vena cava filters," he was automatically supposed to think

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

- that Bard meant Recovery; right?
- 2 A So in the context of how these brochures are distributed,
- 3 as you mentioned before, is a sales rep --
- 4 **I** O Sir --

09:19:27

09:19:37

09:19:53 10

09:20:14 15

09:20:28 20

09:20:46 25

21

22

24

11

13

14

1

- 5 A -- who is having a conversation with a physician, and the 6 context is about Recovery. Yes.
- Q Well, sir, this brochure is a label. It's supposed to be honest. It's supposed to be fair. True?
  - A It is honest and fair.
  - Q It is supposed to be. We know that you're obligated to make it fair and balanced; correct?
- 12 A And it is.
  - Q Okay. Once the Recovery filter was no longer an existing Bard filter, the only existing Bard filter would have been the Simon Nitinol filter; correct?
- 16 A And the G2.
- 17 Q Other than the G2.
- A Yes. Once we stopped selling Recovery, the Simon Nitinol filter would have been the only other filter we marketed.
  - brochure first came out, the only other existing permanent filter, which the G2 was, was the Simon Nitinol filter. True?

And even when you were selling Recovery, when this

- 23 A No. Recovery is also approved as a permanent filter.
  - Q Until you took it off the market and it was neither. Once you took it off the market it wasn't available as a optionally

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

- retrievable filter or a permanent filter; correct?
- A It wasn't available, yes.
- Q And that happened sometime near the end of 2005; correct?
- A I believe so, yes.
  - MR. LOPEZ: Can we go down, there's a section there, Greg, on that page where it says "Data on File."
- 7 BY MR. LOPEZ:

09:20:51

09:21:01

09:21:21 10

09:21:42 15

09:22:04 20

09:22:28 25

1

2

3

5

6

8

11

12

14

16

18

19

21

22

23

24

- Q And I should have pointed out, I don't want to go back to it, but those three things I just read had an asterisk next to it; right?
- A Yes, they did.
- Q And the asterisk is taking you to "Data on File"; right?
- 13 A That's correct.
  - Q Now, if a doctor wanted any of that data to support any of these claims, would Bard share that data with the doctor?
  - A No, we wouldn't.
- 17  $\blacksquare$  Q Why wouldn't you share that with the doctor?
  - A Because the data is our -- it's based on our specifications, which are our property, if you will. All of them together kind of make up what makes the filter what it is, and so, no, we would not give somebody our specifications.
    - Q Okay. So if a sales -- if a doctor got the brochure and saw those three claims that Bard was making and thought, "Oh, data on file, I want to see what it is that supports these claims," Bard would not give that to the doctor. True?

### Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 34 pt 131

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

09:22:31 1 Α I'm sorry, I don't know that that ever happened. And, no, 2 we would not give them our proprietary information. 3 Now, what if a doctor wanted Bard's G2 complication rates 4 as it was being marketed? Would that be something that Bard 09:22:47 5 would give to the doctor? No, not necessarily. 6 Α 7 Q What if Bard --8 MR. LOPEZ: Greg, can you play 1618. 9 Let me tell you exactly. 09:23:09 10 Clip 3 from Mr. Carr's 4/17/13 deposition. 11 MR. WOODY: Play to the jury or --12 MR. LOPEZ: Can I play this to the jury, Your Honor? 13 THE COURT: Any objection? 14 MR. NORTH: No, Your Honor. 09:23:41 15 THE COURT: Yes. 16 (Video clip played.) 17 "Question: Is there any reason why Bard wouldn't or couldn't provide this distraught physician with the 18 complication rate associated with the G2 filter?" 19 "Answer: It's not something that we provide, no." 09:23:57 20 BY MR. LOPEZ: 21 2.2. Now, what if a doctor wanted G2's fracture resistance 23 testing results to see whether or not the doctor was satisfied 24 that this device, unlike the Recovery device, was more 09:24:14 25 fracture resistant? Would that be something that Bard would

### Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 35 pf 131

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

```
give to the doctor?
09:24:18
         1
          2
                  No, I don't think so.
          3
                        MR. LOPEZ: Same deposition, Greg. Clip 4.
          4
                    (Video clip played.)
09:24:32
          5
                        "What about if a distraught physician wanted to know
          6
              how the filter has been tested to see if it can resist
          7
               fracture? Is he or she entitled to know that information?"
          8
                        "Answer: No, it's confidential."
          9
                        MR. LOPEZ: Can I have 994, please.
09:25:11 10
                        Traci, is this in evidence? I think it might be.
                        THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: 994?
         11
         12
                        MR. LOPEZ: 994.
         13
                        THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: It is in.
         14
                        MR. LOPEZ: This is in evidence, Your Honor.
09:25:21 15
               like to publish it to the jury.
         16
                        THE COURT: You may.
         17
               BY MR. LOPEZ:
                  Sir, do you recognize this as the G2 IFU that we've been
         18
              talking about?
         19
09:25:36 20
                        THE WITNESS: Can you flip to the next page, please.
        21
                        MR. LOPEZ: Don't put it large right now, leave it
        22
               small.
         23
                        This is the actual size that's --
         24
                        THE WITNESS: The last page, please, can you flip
09:25:50 25
              to --
```

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

#### BY MR. LOPEZ:

- Q Now, when I said "fine print" earlier, would you agree with me, when you look at this device -- I mean this IFU, which is probably on actual size on your screen, that's -- I think you would consider that fine print, wouldn't you?
- A No, I wouldn't.
- Q Okay. Well, you have better eyes than me.

Now, Mr. North was asking questions, I can't remember who it was, it was the other day, and he asked the question that said: Isn't it true, Doctor, that the results of the Binkert study from the EVEREST trial is in the G2 IFU?

And, sir, I want you to tell us now -- I'm going to ask you the same question.

Sir, are the results of the EVEREST trial or the Binkert study that was published in 2009 in the G2 permanent IFU that is on that screen in front of you that has been admitted into evidence?

THE WITNESS: Can you please flip through it for me. And the next page.

No, it's not.

#### BY MR. LOPEZ:

Q Okay. And Ms. Booker received her G2 device in June of 2007, just to refresh your memory about that. I think we may have mentioned that yesterday. And by then, weren't the results of the EVEREST trial, at least with respect to the

09:26:05

09:25:54

8

9

1

2

3

4

6

7

09:26:22 10

12

11

13

14

09:26:40 15

16

17 18

19

09:27:06 20

21

23

24

09:27:24 25

### Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 37 pt 131

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

- adverse events, those percentages of tilt and the fracture and the migration and the inability to retrieve, that data was already in at Bard, wasn't it?
- A I don't know.

09:27:28

09:27:45

09:28:02 10

09:28:16 15

09:28:34 20

09:28:51 25

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

- Q And if it was, don't you think that Ms. Booker and her doctor should have known about the findings that were in the EVEREST trial and not wait two or three years for Dr. Binkert to publish it in the medical literature?
- A The results of the clinical trial are published in the IFU.
- Q Sir, don't you think they had the right -- you're here as a representative of Bard. You've been a designee as the person most knowledgeable four different times at a deposition. Don't you think that Ms. Booker and her doctor had the right to know the results of the EVEREST trial before she got her device in June of 2007?
- A I don't know the dates. I don't know if the data was there.
- Q But if it was, just as a matter of human decency, her doctor and Ms. Booker should have been advised of that information. True?
- 22 A No, not until it's approved.
- 23 Q Approved?
  - A Yes. Not until the 510(k) is concurred with.
  - Q Well, G2 was already on the market when the Binkert study

### 

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR. 09:28:56 1 results came in. 2 Yes. And you didn't change the brochure that you had given to 3 4 your salespeople that talked about this improved migration 09:29:09 5 resistance and fracture resistance and centering? That didn't 6 change for the entire life of the G2 filter. True? 7 Α I don't know. 8 You don't know? You really don't know about a device that 9 you monitored whether or not that brochure that you created 09:29:25 10 with Ms. Hudnall ever changed? 11 Α I don't know. 12 Let me ask you this: Don't you think it should have? 13 No, I don't. Α 14 MR. LOPEZ: Can I have 1062, Greq, please. 09:29:51 15 BY MR. LOPEZ: 16 Sir, are you familiar with this document? 17 Α I don't remember. Why don't we go to a page to help refresh your 18 recollection about that. 19 09:30:16 20 MR. LOPEZ: Greq, if you can go to -- the PowerPoints 21 aren't -- it's probably seven pages in. It says at the top 22 "Project Reviews." Might be eight pages. 23 BY MR. LOPEZ:

Do you see your name there, Mr. Carr?

24

Α

I do.

09:30:49 25

## Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 39 pt 131

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

- 09:30:51 1 Q Does this suggest to you that you were probably at this
  2 meeting and gave a presentation?
  - A If I see the rest of the presentation. I don't -- looks like it.
    - Q It looks like it?
    - A It's called BPV Project Reviews, but I don't know the rest of the document.
    - Q All right.
    - MR. LOPEZ: And can we go back to the front page, Greg, please.
  - 11 BY MR. LOPEZ:

3

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

18

24

09:31:03

09:31:14 10

09:31:28 15

- Q And this is in April of 2004, the Recovery filter had been on the market in full market release for about three months; right?
  - A I don't think it was ever on full market release.
- 16 Q Recovery filter?
- 17 A Yes.
  - Q Well, let's look at the next page, I'm sorry.
- MR. LOPEZ: Greg go down two more -- three more, and
  we'll see a graph of U.S. Sales. See if this refreshes

  Mr. Carr's recollection as to whether or not this -- the
  Recovery filter was on full market release as of April.
  - 23 BY MR. LOPEZ:
    - Q Does that help refresh your recollection?
- 09:32:08 25 A No, it does not.

# Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 40 pf 131

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR. 09:32:10 1 Q Okay. 2 MR. LOPEZ: And if we go to two more slides down, 3 Greg, where it says "Recovery Filter Generations." 4 BY MR. LOPEZ: 09:32:23 5 Sir, at least as of April 2004, your company was already 6 looking at redesigning the Recovery filter due to migration 7 and fracture issues, as depicted on that slide. True? 8 THE COURT: Mr. Lopez, this document is not in 9 evidence. 09:32:45 10 MR. LOPEZ: I'm sorry, Your Honor. I'd like to offer this into evidence at this time and publish it to the jury. 11 12 MR. NORTH: No objection, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: All right. 1062 is admitted. 14 (Exhibit 1062 admitted.) 09:32:55 15 THE COURT: And may be published. 16 MR. LOPEZ: Can we go back -- forward, Greg, two 17 slides where the Recovery Filter U.S. Sales graph that I didn't have published to the jury. 18 BY MR. LOPEZ: 19 09:33:16 20 And this is October. Would be October, November, December 21 of 2003, and January, February of 2004; right? 22 Α Yes. 23 It's pretty clear that there's a -- in January, all of a 24 sudden the graph starts to trend and spike upward. True? 09:33:45 25 Α Yes.

## Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 41 pt 191

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

- Q So if we've seen documents already in this trial, more than one, and have had testimony that full market release happened in January of 2004, the information you have is different than that?
  - A I don't recall the filter ever being released for full market release.
  - Q Okay.

09:33:51

09:34:04

09:34:23 10

09:34:42 15

09:35:01 20

09:35:16 25

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

24

MR. LOPEZ: And let's go probably about 15 slides down, Greg.

There you go.

- BY MR. LOPEZ:
- Q Sir, yesterday we talked about a meeting you were at that we had there was some notes, and then you said you hadn't seen the notes before, but then I had to remind you you actually testified about those notes in another proceeding.

  Do you remember that?
- A I'd seen a different copy of the notes, I believe.
- Q And those even independent of those notes, you recall discussions from the likes of Dr. Kaufman and Dr. Venbrux that 35 or 50 millimeters of mercury was not an appropriate standard, and that the company ought to consider 140. Do you remember that?
- 23 A I don't remember it separately, no.
  - Q Let's look at this document where -- is this a slide that you prepared?

### Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 42 pt 281

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

09:35:19 1 A I don't know.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

25

09:35:36

09:35:54 10

09:36:08 15

09:36:26 20

Q And this slide, is this slide suggesting that for purposes of testing the Recovery filter, that it ought to be tested at greater than 80 millimeters of mercury at 28 millimeter vena cava?

Do you see that?

- A I don't know the context of this slide. If you go back, I would understand what that bullet meant.
- Q You know it's in this slide going back? That would help you?
- A No. I don't know what the context of that statement is.
  - Q My question to you, at least as of April of 2004, there was a discussion in a meeting that included you, that you ought to be setting the threshold for migration resistance at a number greater than 80 millimeters of mercury. True?
  - A No.
- Q What does that mean?
- A I think I just said I don't know the context of that statement.
  - Q You don't know what that means on that slide?
    - MR. NORTH: Objection, Your Honor. Argumentative.
- 22 THE COURT: Sustained on the last question.
- MR. LOPEZ: Okay. We can put that aside.
- 24 Can I have 730, please.

### Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 43 pf 231

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR. BY MR. LOPEZ: 09:36:53 1 2 Sir, do you see this? Do you see what that is? That's a 3 notice of taking rule 30(b)(6) deposition via videotape. 4 MR. NORTH: Excuse me. Objection. 402. 09:37:15 5 THE COURT: I don't know where you're going with 6 this, Mr. Lopez. 7 MR. LOPEZ: I'm going to show him the subject matter 8 for which he was a designee for Bard. 9 THE COURT: Overruled. 09:37:24 10 BY MR. LOPEZ: 11 Do you see it, sir? Q 12 Α I see -- yes. 13 Okay. And you actually appeared at a deposition as Bard's 14 designee in April of 2003. True? 09:37:39 15 I don't see a date, but --Α 16 Well, you can see -- there you go. Q It was 2013. 17 Α I'm sorry, you're right. My mistake. 2013. I meant 18 2013, and it was 2013; correct? So the record is clear. It 19 09:38:00 20 was four years -- it was five years ago, and not 15 years ago.

Q Okay. Let's go to the very last page of this document.

Yes.

Α

21

23

24

09:38:21 25

MR. LOPEZ: Your Honor, may I move this into evidence

and publish it to the jury at this time?

MR. NORTH: Objection, Your Honor. 402. A notice of

## Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 44 pf 231

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

```
09:38:24
         1
               deposition.
          2
                        MR. LOPEZ: At least the last page, Your Honor.
          3
                        THE COURT: Well, the objection is relevancy?
          4
                        MR. NORTH: Yes, Your Honor. Same objection.
09:38:33
          5
                        THE COURT: Overruled on relevancy grounds. 730 is
          6
               admitted.
          7
                        (Exhibit 730 admitted.)
          8
                        MR. LOPEZ: Thank you, Your Honor. May I publish it
          9
              to the jury?
09:38:41 10
                        THE COURT: Yes.
         11
                        MR. LOPEZ: Can you zoom in, Greg, on point numbers 1
         12
              through 3.
         13
                        Actually, could you give me the paragraph that
              precedes that.
         14
09:39:02 15
              BY MR. LOPEZ:
         16
                  Sir, I've counted one, two, three, four, five, six times
         17
               that you've been the designee on behalf of Bard.
                        MR. NORTH: Objection, Your Honor. 402 and 403. And
         18
               in violation of stipulation.
         19
09:39:20 20
                        THE COURT: I don't know what the stipulation is.
        21
                        MR. NORTH: Can we approach?
         22
                        THE COURT: Yes, you may.
         23
                        If you want to stand up, ladies and gentlemen, feel
         24
               free.
09:39:36 25
                    (Bench conference as follows:)
```

## Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 45 pt 231

09:39:37

09:39:49

09:40:05 10

09:40:14 15

09:40:22 20

09:40:38 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

MR. NORTH: Your Honor, when we were meeting and conferring about motions in limine, we came up with a stipulation as to those that we agreed upon, and one was about other litigation involving the filter, that that would not be raised without first bringing it up outside of the presence of the jury. Mr. Lopez is quite artfully injecting the notion of other litigation over and over and over with references to things like this. We object to it.

MR. LOPEZ: Well, we're not doing it. The guy's been deposed 11 times, Judge.

THE COURT: You don't mention it if you stipulated not to.

MR. LOPEZ: No, no, I didn't mention --

THE COURT: You just did. You said "six times you've been designated."

MR. LOPEZ: So. And that could be one case. They're all different subject matters.

THE COURT: Mr. Lopez, I think if you stipulated to not talk about litigation outside of this, you clearly shouldn't be referring to -- you know there are not six deposition notices in this case for him.

MR. LOPEZ: Your Honor -- okay, then we're not on the same ground about prior litigation. I don't want to talk about the case and the lawsuit, but I have the right to talk about the fact he was a --

## Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 46 Df 231

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

THE COURT: Was he designated in this case?

MR. LOPEZ: As?

09:40:40

09:40:45

09:40:52 10

09:41:10 15

09:41:20 20

09:41:29 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: As a 30(b)(6) witness.

MR. LOPEZ: Two or three times. But he has been in the past.

THE COURT: Then you can talk about it in this case. But if the stipulation is you're not going to inject other litigation, you shouldn't be talking about notices for other litigation.

MR. LOPEZ: No, Your Honor. Please. I mean, he's given prior depositions in cases under oath. When I said prior litigation, I mean we're not going to talk about the case. I didn't talk about the fact I wasn't going to be able to come here fully loaded with depositions of prior testimony this guy gave.

I'd like to see the stipulation. What does it say?

MR. NORTH: Other lawsuits or claims against the defendants.

MR. LOPEZ: I'm not talking about that.

THE COURT: Mr. Lopez, let's cut to the chase. It's relevant because he at some time in the past has been put forward by Bard as somebody who can speak about this.

MR. LOPEZ: Right.

THE COURT: You can bring that out for this case.

MR. LOPEZ: Okay.

### Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 47 pt 231

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

THE COURT: You cannot bring it out for other cases. So you need to rephrase your question to concern his designation in this case. I've overruled the relevancy objection because I think it is relevant that he's been designated, but I think that's all the jury needs to know.

And you should stay away, in this or other ways, from references to other litigation because I think that's the intent of that stipulation. And if you don't think so, we can talk about it later. But I came into this trial assuming that the existence of the MDL and all these other cases was not going to be part of what was mentioned in this case because of that stipulation.

MR. LOPEZ: But, Your Honor, again, we -- there was not a meeting -- never did I intend that I wasn't going to be able to show up here with multiple depositions --

THE COURT: Nobody's objected to you impeaching him with other depositions so long as you're not describing them as from another case or another trial.

MR. LOPEZ: That's fair.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. LOPEZ: I'll do that.

THE COURT: Okay.

(Bench conference concludes.)

THE COURT: Thanks, ladies and gentlemen.

25

24

09:41:29

09:41:39

09:41:55 10

09:42:08 15

09:42:21 20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

## 

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

1 BY MR. LOPEZ:

09:42:35

09:42:53

09:43:05 10

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

14

18

19

09:43:36 20

Q Mr. Carr, in a deposition you gave in April, you were designated as a officer, director, managing agent, employee, or other sufficiently knowledgeable person to testify and provide all responsive documents concerning the following topics.

And you see those first three topics that are there?

- A Yes.
  - Q The risks and complications associated with the Bard Recovery filter, IVC filter. True?
- 11 A Yes.
- Q The risk and complications associated with the Bard G2 IVC filter.
  - A Yes.
- 09:43:19 15 Q And the risk and complications associated with Bard G2

  16 Express IVC filter; correct?
  - 17 A Yes.
    - Q And the G2 Express was, from the standpoint of the actual device itself that was implanted in the patient, was the same as the G2 except it had a hook on it?
  - 21 A Yes.
  - Q And of all of the people in Bard, you were the one who was designated as the person most qualified to testify on those issues; correct?
- 09:43:51 25 A Yes.

#### Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 49 pt 231

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

Q I'd like to show you trial Exhibit 4327.

MR. LOPEZ: And, Greg, for now you can only display the first page. In fact, just show the first page and publish it to the jury since that's been admitted, just to give the jury a reminder of what this is.

THE COURT: You want this displayed, Mr. Lopez?

MR. LOPEZ: Yes, please, Your Honor.

And then, if you go to page 5 of this document.

Again, just to remind us all, this talks about caudal improvements of the G2.

And then can you take this down, Greg, and just show Mr. Carr Page 8 of the exhibit.

BY MR. LOPEZ:

Q Do you see that, sir?

MR. NORTH: Your Honor -- I'm sorry.

MR. LOPEZ: It's not published.

MR. NORTH: I'm sorry.

BY MR. LOPEZ:

Q Sir, look at page 8. This is in January of 2006; correct?

THE COURT: You can't ask him about a document that's not in evidence. Are you using it to refresh recollection?

MR. LOPEZ: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Then have him look -- tell him what you want him to look for.

25

09:43:52

09:44:08

09:44:38 10

09:45:03 15

09:45:15 20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

### Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 50 pt 281

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

#### BY MR. LOPEZ:

09:45:26

09:45:34

09:45:45 10

09:46:04 15

09:46:23 20

09:46:41 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

Q I want you to look at it, sir, and you can thumb through it, all the pages. I'm going to ask you a simple question.

Do any of those pages deal with risks and complications associated with the Recovery and the G2 filter?

THE COURT: You can't ask him about the document.

You can only use it to refresh his recollection since it's not in evidence.

#### BY MR. LOPEZ:

Q Does this document refresh your recollection that this is the type of data that you, as the person most knowledgeable, would have first-hand knowledge of when you were at Bard and certainly showing up at a deposition to be that person?

MR. NORTH: Objection, Your Honor. He's not using it to refresh the recollection there. He's describing the document.

MR. LOPEZ: Laying a foundation, Judge.

THE COURT: I think you need to, Mr. Lopez, establish a failure of recollection if you're going to refresh it. So I think you should ask first what questions you want him to testify to, and if he doesn't remember and you can use this to refresh his recollection, you certainly may do that.

MR. LOPEZ: Well, Your Honor, may I also use it to try to establish further foundation for the document being admissible?

## Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 51 pt 291

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

09:46:42 1 THE COURT: Yeah, if you ask foundation questions. 2 BY MR. LOPEZ: 3 Did you receive, as part of the person who was the most 4 knowledgeable, monthly MDR reports? 09:46:54 5 No, not as the person most knowledgeable. 6 Did you look at monthly reports as part of your job just 7 to see how the devices were performing? 8 I said yesterday I don't remember getting this document, 9 but I was cc'd on it. 09:47:10 10 You were cc'd on it. So if you were cc'd on this document, you would have gotten it, and it would have been 11 12 part of the material that you would have had available to you 13 in preparing for a deposition to talk about risks and complications. True? 14 I don't know what you mean by that. Documents being 09:47:25 15 16 prepared? I'm not sure of your question. 17 Well, when you prepare to be a 30(b)(6) deponent, you 18 prepare; right? Just to make sure that you are the most knowledgeable person. 19 09:47:43 20 Α Yes. 21 And wouldn't this, what you see on what's displayed in 22 front of you, be the type of document you would have reviewed to become that most knowledgeable person? 23 24 Not necessarily, no. Α

09:47:57 25

Q

But you did receive it?

### Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 52 pt 381

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

- 09:48:00 1 A I was cc'd on it. I don't remember ever reading or getting the document.
  - Q In the regular course of business, you would have received this; right?
  - A Again, I was cc'd on it.
  - Q If this was a monthly report and your name was on it, this is something Rob Carr would have received, at least in January or February of 2006. True?
  - A Yes.
  - Q And you would have reviewed it?
  - 11 A Maybe.

3

4

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

09:48:08

09:48:23 10

09:48:37 15

09:48:50 20

09:49:04 25

- Q And you would have looked at the data in here and applied your engineering skills and your engineering sense about the design of the product and whether or not it was performing correctly. True?
- 16 A No, not necessarily.
  - Q You don't think that would have been a prudent thing to look at, those last four pages, to see whether or not your device, as one of the engineers on the Recovery filter, might have some design issues?
  - A I have no memory of this document.
  - Q I know. But I'm asking you -- I just showed it to you. I just want to know whether it's the type of document that would have been likely, using your prudence and good judgment as an engineer, to see whether or not the Recovery filter -- I'm

## Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 53 pf 331

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

```
sorry, whether the G2 filter was having some design issues.
09:49:08
         1
          2
               This would be that type of document; right?
          3
                  No.
                        I would know that through different documents.
               Α
          4
                        MR. LOPEZ: I'm still going to move that in evidence
09:49:24
          5
               at this time.
          6
                        MR. NORTH: Your Honor, 802. 802.
          7
                        THE COURT: Sustained as hearsay.
          8
                        MR. LOPEZ: Exception. Notice.
          9
                        THE COURT: No. We talked about that yesterday.
09:49:34 10
               It's still sustained if your argument is notice.
         11
                        MR. LOPEZ: And I think I laid the foundation, it's a
         12
               business record kept in the ordinary course of business.
         13
                        THE COURT: No. Overruled. 803(6) has not been
         14
               satisfied.
09:50:11 15
                        MR. LOPEZ: Could we have 5343, please.
         16
               BY MR. LOPEZ:
         17
                  Mr. Carr, do you recognize this document?
                  It appears to be a letter from the FDA about our 510(k).
         18
               Α
                  This is what your company would have received after
         19
09:51:27 20
               submitting whatever it is you submit to get clearance to
        21
              market the 510(k) -- I'm sorry, the G2?
        22
               Α
                  Yes.
         23
               Q
                  Okay.
         24
                        MR. LOPEZ: And I'd like to offer this into evidence
09:51:41 25
              at this time, Your Honor.
```

# Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 54 pf 321

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

```
09:51:42
                        MR. NORTH: No objection, Your Honor.
         1
          2
                        MR. LOPEZ: I'd like to publish it to the jury,
          3
               please.
          4
                        THE COURT: Admitted. And you may publish.
09:51:50
          5
                    (Exhibit 5343 admitted.)
          6
                        MR. LOPEZ: Can I have the first paragraph there,
          7
               Greg, please.
          8
               BY MR. LOPEZ:
          9
                  Now, this -- a 510(k) is what is called a premarket
09:52:07 10
              notification of intent to market the device that is referenced
         11
               in this clearance letter; correct?
         12
                  That's what it says.
         13
                  This is called a clearance letter, not an approval letter.
         14
               True?
09:52:20 15
                  I don't know what it's called.
               Α
         16
               Q And the reason it was cleared for marketing is because, as
        17
               is stated here, it had been determined the device is
               substantially equivalent for the indications for use stated in
         18
               the enclosure to legally marketed predicate devices marketed
         19
09:52:41 20
               in interstate commerce prior to May 28, 1776 -- 1976.
        21
                        Do you see that?
        22
               Α
                  Yes.
         23
                  Now, even though -- I don't want there to be any confusion
         24
               about this when it's read. It says "to legally market
09:52:59 25
              predicate devices." The company has to choose what predicate
```

### Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 55 pf 331

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

device it's going to use when it submits the 510(k), they can't just say every legally marketed device is our predicate device. They make the choice, then they submit their 510 application as to what the predicate device is going to be; correct?

A Yes.

09:53:03

09:53:17

09:53:32 10

09:53:50 15

09:54:07 20

09:54:24 25

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

Q And, again, it has to be, and we talked about this earlier, a legally marketed predicate device. True?

A To a legally marketed predicate device, devices, marketed in interstate commerce prior to May 28, 1976.

Q I understand that. But I just wanted to make it clear this doesn't take in all of the devices. This wasn't cleared because of all of the devices that were on the market prior to that. This specifically, this G2, its predicate was the substantial equivalent predicate for the clearance of the G2. True?

- A Substantially equivalent to its predicate, yes.
- Q Which was the Recovery filter; right?
  - A I'd have to read it to know for sure, but I believe so.
  - Q You would have to read something to remember whether the G2 device -- whether the Recovery device was the predicate device for the G2?
  - A I know it is a predicate. I don't remember if SNF or Greenfield were also.
  - Q I thought you were the person that knew -- we talked, I

### 

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR. think in the first ten minutes, that you were probably the 09:54:27 1 2 person who knew more about Bard IVC filters than anyone else 3 in the company. Are you not that person today? 4 I probably am. 09:54:43 5 MR. LOPEZ: Let's go to the last page, please. 6 I'm sorry, Greg. My fault. The second page. I 7 forgot that was an attachment. 8 MR. WOODY: Which paragraph? 9 MR. LOPEZ: The very last paragraph. 09:55:13 10 BY MR. LOPEZ: 11 Not that this letter needed to do that, but in this 12 clearance letter, misbranding -- you were reminded not to 13 misbrand. True? Yes. It says: Also please note the regulation entitled 14 09:55:43 15 misbranding by reference to premarket notification. 16 And it also tells you you can obtain other general 17 information on your responsibilities, the company's responsibilities, under the act from the division of small 18 19 manufacturers, et cetera; correct? 09:56:00 20 Α Yes. 21 It's telling you once you get this clearance, you have 22 responsibilities as a manufacturer; right? 23 We have responsibilities? Α 24 Yes. What is misbranding? 0 09:56:19 25 Α Misbranding would be -- an example would be marketing a

### Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 57 pt 331

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

- device off label. So not for its intended indication for use.
  - Q What else would be misbranding? Wouldn't overstating the benefits and the quality of a device be misbranding?
- A I don't know.

09:56:26

09:56:48

09:57:06 10

09:57:31 15

09:57:48 20

09:58:07 25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

- Q Wouldn't a brochure that is false and misleading about its qualities be misbranding?
- A I don't know.
  - Q Wouldn't not providing a fair balanced presentation of the benefits and the risks of your product to doctors, to hospitals, and to the world be misbranding?
- A I don't know.
  - Q So you're a person at Bard who, with the marketing person,

    Janet Hudnall, gets together and creates a brochure, and you

    don't know what misbranding means by the regulations?
  - A I don't know the entire definition of misbranding per the regulation.
  - Q Okay. But you should when you're putting together a brochure that's being sent nationwide to doctors to read and to be influenced to use your product, don't you think?
  - A It's not totally my responsibility. All of our labeling, marketing brochures included, go through many reviews, both internally at our division here in Arizona, as well as our corporate review in New Jersey.
  - Q Right. But they look to you for the G2 brochure, you and Ms. Hudnall, whose deposition we saw played, to create the G2

### Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 58 pf 381

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR. brochure that got distributed to every doctor in America; 09:58:10 1 2 right? 3 Α No. Not to every doctor in America, but the doctors to whom 09:58:19 you wanted to sell the G2 device. 6 It was distributed to people, yes. Α 7 And if you put ads in journals, that would have been --8 the same content, would have been what was in that brochure; 9 right? 09:58:33 10 I don't know. And if you were at a hospital or you went to the Society 11 12 of Interventional Radiologists annual meeting, which I 13 understand is going on right now in Los Angeles, you might 14 even be there with a big poster of this same brochure, talking 09:58:48 15 about increased migration resistance, tilt, centering, all 16 that would be at a convention. 17 I don't remember that. Now, in April and May -- I'm sorry, February, April, and 18 May of 2004, I hope you remember this time period, because it 19 09:59:10 20 was a pretty critical time --21 THE COURT: Mr. Lopez, please don't make comments. 22 Just ask questions. 23 MR. LOPEZ: I'm sorry. 24 BY MR. LOPEZ:

Sir, you would remember the first six months of 2004

09:59:19 25

Q

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

relating to the Recovery filter, wouldn't you?

- A I don't know what you're referring to.
- Q You don't know that I'm referring to the fact that there was a crisis communication plan that was in effect to deal with the reports of the monthly deaths from migration of the filter going into patients' heart that were being challenged by clots? You don't remember that?

MR. NORTH: Objection. Argumentative.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: I was not part of that, no.

BY MR. LOPEZ:

09:59:21

09:59:36

09:59:49 10

10:00:01 15

10:00:09 20

10:00:26 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

- Q But you knew, sir, in the early part -- when you say you weren't part of it, what do you mean you weren't part of it? You weren't paying attention to it? You weren't involved in it?
- A I didn't know about it.
- Q You didn't know about the early deaths?
- A No, I didn't know about the crisis communication plan.
- Q Oh. Okay. I got you.

But you knew about the deaths. In fact, I think there was a death a month after what has been testified to here and shown here earlier within a month after the full market release in January of 2004, there was the first death of a device that got challenged by a clot and went to a person's heart. Do you remember that?

### Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 60 pt 181

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

- A I don't know the exact time frame, but yes.
- Q And I think there was another death in April. Do you remember that?
- A There was another death. I don't remember the time point.
- Q Do you remember some early discussions that the company thought that this might be because this device was being used in bariatric patients, meaning people that were morbidly obese?
- A Later, yes.

10:00:30

10:00:41

10:00:54 10

10:01:11 15

10:01:37 20

10:01:54 25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

- Q And that there was some concern as to whether or not the company should have put a black box warning on the Recovery filter because they thought that this device was particularly dangerous in the morbidly obese, people that were undergoing bypass surgery who might get a device; correct?
- A No, I wouldn't put it that way. The advent of bariatric surgery at that time was fairly new, and the use of vena cava filters in general in those patients was new. And we very responsibly put together a panel and tried to learn as much as we can about those patients and how filters were used there.
- Q You were very concerned about the bariatric population, weren't you?
- A I think we were responsible.
  - Q I don't have time to show it now, but Dr. Ciavarella's deposition -- I mean, I'm sorry, his HHE from December 2004 is in evidence, you have seen that HHE, health hazard evaluation?

## Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 61 pf 391

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

- I've seen several. 10:02:00 1 Α 2 And he mentions in there that among the deaths, it seems 3 to be if there was some trending, there should be some trending in the bariatric population. 10:02:09 I don't remember that specifically. Okay. 6 Q 7 MR. LOPEZ: Now, let's look at Exhibit 764, please. 8 BY MR. LOPEZ: Sir, you recognize this e-mail; right? 10:02:40 10 Α Yes. And this e-mail is dated from you -- at least the way 11 12 e-mails work, the one on top is the last e-mail in the string, 13 but this e-mail actually started, if you go to the last page, from Jason Greer, who we're going to hear from later today. 14 10:03:05 15 THE COURT: Is there a question? 16 MR. LOPEZ: I'm sorry. I'm sorry. 17 BY MR. LOPEZ: This originated from Jason Greer on Thursday, May 27, 18 2004; correct? 19
- 10:03:14 20 A Yes.

21

- Q And you received this e-mail and you responded to it; correct?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q And --
- 10:03:21 25 MR. LOPEZ: Your Honor, I'd like to admit this into

## Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 62 pf 431

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

evidence at this time and publish it. 10:03:23 1 2 MR. NORTH: Objection, Your Honor. 402 and 403. 3 THE COURT: Hold on just a minute, please. 4 Counsel, let's talk for a minute at sidebar. 10:04:12 5 Excuse the interruption, ladies and gentlemen. Please stand if you feel like it. 6 7 (Bench conference as follows:) 8 THE COURT: What's the 403 objection? 9 MR. NORTH: He's trying to introduce that to get that 10:04:25 10 top line in from Mr. Carr that says "Let's stay away from the 11 buffet line." It was probably an overly glib remark. He's 12 going to try to -- this happened in another case. He's trying to make Mr. Carr look bad, insensitive, with that. It really 13 has no relevance. 14 10:04:41 15 And morbid obesity is not an issue with Ms. Booker. 16 MR. LOPEZ: Your Honor, they have a serious problem. He was is the guy that was in charge  $\ensuremath{\text{--}}$ 17 THE COURT: I understand that. But what about the 18 point what you really want to do is get in front of the jury 19 10:04:53 20 his comment about "Let's stay away from the buffet line"? MR. LOPEZ: Well, if you look at the subject matter, 21 22 Judge -- forget that part. Just even without that part of 23 the -- this is important information that one of the 24 salespeople is asking him about. 10:05:14 25 THE COURT: Well, but the objection --

## 

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

MR. LOPEZ: In response --

10:05:16

10:05:27

10:05:40 10

10:05:52 15

10:06:14 20

10:06:24 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: The objection is -- okay, why do you think his response is relevant?

MR. LOPEZ: Because if he -- this is in May of -- people are dying from this device.

THE COURT: Why is this response relevant?

MR. LOPEZ: Because he's making a joke out of this thing, number one. Number two is he apologized for that when he testified in trial said, Oh, I had a bad day, I was just making a joke. Well, he did it a month later.

THE COURT: Watch the mic.

MR. LOPEZ: I'm sorry. He did it a month later.

We're talking about ICD codes to get --

THE COURT: Hold on a minute.

Okay. So here's my question to you, Mr. Lopez: What you want to suggest to the jury is that Mr. Carr was callous and glib about a very serious problem. What I want to know is why is that relevant to the case? It's like the picture you wanted to get in of the fellow flipping the bird at the camera. I'm not understanding. It seems to me to be similar.

MR. LOPEZ: I don't think it is.

THE COURT: Okay. Explain to me why it's relevant.

MR. LOPEZ: I understand that, Your Honor. In fact, I don't know why that went in, but I knew you were not going to rule --

## Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 64 pt 431

10:06:26

10:06:30

10:06:41 10

10:06:54 15

10:07:10 20

10:07:32 25

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

THE COURT: Then why did you have me spend time on it?

MR. LOPEZ: Your Honor, I didn't do all of the motions in limine.

THE COURT: All right. All right. Let's stick with this.

MR. LOPEZ: Because I knew when you turned to the second page you'd say --

THE COURT: Why is Mr. Carr's insensitivity toward this issue relevant in this case?

MR. LOPEZ: Your Honor, he's already said, and I think he's going to be called in his case, he's going to stand up there and talk about how much Bard cared and how much they did this and always cared about patients and they always care about what's going on with their devices, and a good company would start redesigning it. This shows that that character that he's trying to display to the jury, at least as of this time, may not be the case.

THE COURT: Okay. Here's my ruling on this issue.

My ruling is that you can admit the portion of Exhibit 764

which does not include the buffet line. And if, in your

rebuttal case, you believe that they have — or even in

cross-examining Mr. Carr, if they call him, you believe that

he has been portrayed as a compassionate, caring individual,

and you think it needs impeachment, then I'll be happy to hear

## Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 65 pf 431

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

10:07:36 1 your argument at that time. 2 But it appears to me now, just so I'm clear on the 3 record, that what is apparently a callous and insensitive 4 comment by this individual is marginally relevant to the case. 10:07:49 5 There could be an argument that it's -- somehow reflects a corporate sensitivity, but that is a bit of a stretch. 6 7 There is some relevance. I think it is substantially 8 outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, so I'm going to 9 grant the 403 objection. But you can raise it later if you 10:08:06 10 think he's been portrayed in a different way. 11 MR. LOPEZ: I'll be listening to every word. 12 THE COURT: I'm sure you will. So if you want to get this exhibit in, you can get 13 14 in --Well, is there any objection to the e-mail from Jason 10:08:15 15 16 Greer? 17 MR. NORTH: No. THE COURT: You can get in that portion --18 MR. LOPEZ: Reserving the right later to --19 THE COURT: 10:08:25 20 Yes. 21 (Bench conference concludes.) 22 THE COURT: Thank you, ladies and gentlemen. 23 MR. LOPEZ: Okay. We're trying to deal with the 24 issue we talked about at sidebar, Your Honor. 10:09:11 25 THE COURT: Okay. That's fine.

## Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 66 pf 421

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

```
MR. LOPEZ: We're going to redact it electronically.
10:09:13
         1
         2
                        THE COURT: That's fine.
         3
              BY MR. LOPEZ:
                  Okay. Mr. Carr, this is trial Exhibit 843.
10:09:37
         5
                        MR. LOPEZ: Reserving the right we discussed on
         6
               sidebar, Your Honor, I'd like to offer this in its current
         7
               form into evidence and display it to the jury.
         8
                        THE COURT: 843 is being moved now?
          9
                        MR. LOPEZ: Oh, is it 842? I'm sorry, it is 7 -- is
10:10:02 10
               it 764?
         11
                        THE COURT: The one we've been talking about is 764.
         12
                        MR. LOPEZ: It is. I had the wrong file folder on
              top. 764.
         13
                        THE COURT: So you're moving the redacted 764 into
         14
10:10:15 15
              evidence, as we discussed at sidebar?
         16
                        MR. LOPEZ: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
         17
                        THE COURT: All right. That will be admitted.
                    (Exhibit 764 admitted.)
         18
              BY MR. LOPEZ:
         19
10:10:24 20
                  Sir, you recall this e-mail between and you Mr. Greer?
        21
              Α
                  Yes.
        22
                  I hope this refreshes your recollection that at least as
        23
              of May of 2007, there were issues with bariatric patients and
         24
               filters.
10:10:39 25
                       Does that help refresh your recollection?
```

## Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 67 pf 431

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

10:10:43 1 A Of May 2007?

2

3

4

6

7

8

14

16

19

21

22

23

24

10:10:52

10:11:08 10

10:11:23 15

10:11:36 20

10:11:46 25

THE COURT: You said 2007.

MR. LOPEZ: I don't know what's wrong with me.

May 27, 2004.

May I publish this to the jury, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

Things look distorted when you're shorter at this podium. I just want you to know, Judge.

BY MR. LOPEZ:

- Q So May 27th, 2004, the subject is Bariatric Patients and
- 11 Filters. True?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q And who is Jason Greer.
  - A He was a sales rep or maybe a district manager in Memphis.
  - O And who is Janet Hudnall?
  - A She's a marketing person.
- 18 A Jason's boss.
  - Q And these were being sent -- Jack Sullivan was like a regional person?
    - A If Jason was a rep then, then Jack would have been a district manager, and if Jason was a district manager, Jack would have been the regional manager.
  - Q Got you.

Janet Hudnall was the national marketing person;

### 

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

1 right?

2

3

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

24

10:11:51

10:12:02

10:12:27 10

10:12:44 15

10:13:00 20

10:13:08 25

A Yes.

Q Let's go down to the content of the e-mail from Jason to Janet, Mr. Carr, and Mr. Sullivan.

And Mr. Greer is discussing with you in this e-mail some of his experiences that he's had in discussing with some of his customers bariatric patients potentially having larger vena cavas or vena cavas that expand once you put a Recovery filter in it; correct?

- A We didn't have a discussion, he sent this e-mail, and I don't know who he was talking to when he wrote this.
- Q And he states when they --

MR. LOPEZ: It's in that first paragraph, Greg, last sentence.

BY MR. LOPEZ:

Q "When they don't eat or drink they can become dehydrated, very, very dehydrated if they wait too long."

And then, the next paragraph. "When the patient" -- again, they're talking about bariatric patients; right?

A Yes.

- Q -- "show up in the ER, they pump the patient full of fluids."
- Do you see that?
  - A Yes.
    - Q "Then all the vessels, including the vena cava, are going

### Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 69 pt 431

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR. to grow. If the cava grows over 28 millimeters, I don't care 10:13:11 1 2 what filter is in the patient, there easily could be a filter migration." 3 Do you see that? 10:13:22 5 Α Yes. 6 And he says: "This crap is going to happen. What do we do?" 7 8 And then, at the bottom: "My biggest Recovery 9 customer and I had a talk about this yesterday. It's a little 10:13:40 10 scary. What chu think?" 11 He wrote that to you; right? 12 Α And others, yes. 13 And you answered him with -- don't tell us what the answer 14 was right now, but this is obviously a situation that was 10:13:55 15 serious and that Mr. Greer was concerned about that, at least 16 with respect to some of the people in -- the customers he was 17 calling on; correct? 18 Α Yes. And he was concerned that the Recovery filter may not be a 19 10:14:07 20 filter that, if it were to expand beyond 28 millimeters in the vena cava, would stay where you put it; right? 21 22 A He says every filter. 23 Well, I know, but -- he says every filter, but the only 24 filter that Bard was selling at this time was the Recovery

10:14:22 25

filter; correct?

### Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 70 pf 481

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

A No.

10:14:24

10:14:32

10:14:50 10

10:15:09 15

10:15:33 20

10:15:48 25

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

- Q And the Simon Nitinol filter.
- A Yes.
  - Q Okay. The only two filters that Bard should have been concerned about safety in response to this letter was nobody else's filter, it should have been Bard's filters. True?
  - A We are responsible for our filters.
  - Q Right. And I know he says it could be other filters, but we don't know -- we're not going to try that case. We're talking about the Recovery and the G2 in this case.

The filters that you should be concerned about where the vena cava would expand more than 28 millimeters and cause a device to migrate to the heart in a bariatric patient should be the Recovery filter; correct?

- A We're concerned about the patient, not the filter.
- Q And the patient -- is the patient you should be concerned about are the patients whose Recovery filter is being challenged by a clot, and the filter goes into the heart because it expands beyond the 28-millimeter specification for the Recovery filter. True?
- A Yes. Or the SNF.
- Q And Mr. Greer, a salesman, knew that that was a possibility and that it was actually happening among some of his customers. True?
  - A I think he says it's going to happen.

### Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 71 pf 491

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

- Q Did Bard change its specifications, indications for use, for the maximum size of vena cava in which the Recovery filter should be safely implanted to avoid an expansion beyond 28 millimeters to put the patient at risk of the device dislodging and going to the heart? Did they change the IFU, or indications for use?
- A No.

10:16:00

10:16:18

10:16:32 10

10:16:51 15

10:17:08 20

10:17:22 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

- Q Did they tell doctors that their device, if it was challenged by a clot and the clot caused the Recovery filter to expand beyond 28 millimeters within the vena cava, that there was a reasonable chance that the filter would become dislodged and not protect the patient and, in fact, potentially cause death because the device went into the heart with the clot?
- A Not generally, no.
- Q Just kept selling it for the next year and a half; right?
- A We did many, many investigations, as I said before. We convened a panel of experts to learn about bariatric surgery and how filters were used. We did a tremendous amount of work to understand the situation.
- Q I understand. But my question was you did continue to sell the Recovery filter without any changes to the filter, to the design of the filter. True?
- A Yes.
- Q And you continued to sell it for another two years after

### Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 72 pf \$81

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) - ROBERT M. CARR, JR.

- you had two deaths from the device dislodging when it got challenged by a clot and went into a person's heart. True?
  - A I don't know the exact time it was off the market, but around there.
  - Q And how many more times did that happen, sir, over the next year and a half before Recovery was finally taken off the market?
  - A How many times did what happen?
  - Q Did the device dislodge when challenged by a clot and go into a patient's heart and kill the patient.
- 11 A I don't know how many times that it was associated with 12 that observation.
  - Q How many times -- you're the risk and complications person from Bard. You can't tell this jury how many times it was reported to Bard that the Recovery filter, when challenged by a clot, went into a patient's heart and was associated with that patient's death?
  - A No, I can't.

10:17:28

10:17:42

10:17:56 10

10:18:12 15

10:18:27 20

1

2

3

6

7

8

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

- Q What if I told you it was 19 times, would you argue with me?
- A I'm not going to argue, but I could read it somewhere.
- 22 Q Would you dispute -- does 19 sound about right?
- 23 A I don't know.
  - Q It was about 19, wasn't it?
- 10:18:41 25 MR. NORTH: Objection, Your Honor. Cumulative. And

### DIRECT EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNAI BOOKER

403. 10:18:43 1 2 THE COURT: Sustained. 3 BY MR. LOPEZ: But you came to court today not knowing the answer to that question. True? 10:18:48 Yes. 6 7 MR. LOPEZ: No further questions, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Cross-examination? 9 MR. NORTH: Your Honor, with the Court's permission, 10:18:55 10 we'd like to reserve our right to present Mr. Carr's testimony 11 as a part of our case in chief. 12 THE COURT: That's fine. 13 You can step down, sir. THE WITNESS: Thank you. 14 10:19:10 15 THE COURT: All right. Your next witness, Counsel. 16 MR. O'CONNOR: Your Honor, at this time we'd call 17 Sheri Booker. SHERR-UNA BOOKER, 18 called as a witness herein, after having been first duly sworn 19 10:19:48 20 or affirmed, was examined and testified as follows: 21 DIRECT EXAMINATION 22 BY MR. O'CONNOR: 23 Good morning. Q 24 A Good morning.

Would you introduce yourself to the members of the jury,

10:20:37 25

Q

## Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 74 pf \$31

### DIRECT EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNAI BOOKER

```
10:20:38
          1
               please.
          2
                   Good morning. My name is Sheri Booker. Sherr-Una Booker,
          3
               but everybody calls me Sheri.
                   You go by Sheri?
10:20:48
               Α
                   Yes.
          6
                  How are you feeling right now?
               Q
          7
               Α
                   Very nervous.
          8
               0
                   So am I.
          9
                        Where are you from, Sheri?
10:20:59 10
                   I am originally from Brooklyn, New York, born and raised.
               Α
         11
                   What year were you born?
         12
                        THE COURT: We can't hear you, Mr. O'Connor.
         13
                        MR. O'CONNOR: Sorry.
         14
               BY MR. O'CONNOR:
10:21:09 15
                   What year were you born?
         16
                   I was born in 1969.
               Α
         17
                   And how long did you live in Brooklyn?
                   For the majority of my life.
         18
               Α
                   Did you go to school in Brooklyn?
         19
               Q
10:21:21 20
                   Yes, I did.
               Α
                   What was the name of your high school?
         21
               Q
         22
                        THE COURT: Mr. O'Connor, we can't hear you.
         23
               you please speak up and move the mic up.
         24
                        MR. O'CONNOR: Sure.
         25
```

# Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 75 pf \$31

#### DIRECT EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNAI BOOKER

BY MR. O'CONNOR: 10:21:31 1 2 Did you graduate from high school? 3 Α Yes, I did. What year was that? 0 10:21:36 Α 1988. 6 Did you go to school after that time? Q 7 Α Yes, I did. 8 Where did you go to school after high school? Q I went to Brooklyn College. Α 10:21:44 10 What did you take there? Q 11 Α Well, I had a full music scholarship for voice. 12 Q Do you sing? 13 Α I do. Did you finish college? 14 Q 10:21:54 15 No, I did not. Α 16 Did you go to work? Q Yes, I did. 17 Α At some point in time you left Brooklyn? 18 Q 19 Α Yes. 10:22:02 20 Where did you go? Q I moved to Greensboro, North Carolina. 21 Α 22 Q And how long did you live there? 23 About four years. I just wanted some fresh air for my Α 24 sons. Give them better opportunities. 10:22:21 25 Q Eventually you wound up in Georgia?

# Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 76 pf \$21

### DIRECT EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNAI BOOKER

Actually, I had moved back to New York. 10:22:24 1 Α 2 Q Okay. And did you eventually move from there to --3 Yes. Eventually I moved there to at Atlanta -- well, Α Duluth, Georgia. 10:22:39 And when did you move to Georgia? 6 Α In October 2010. 7 Q And do you still live there? 8 Yes, I do. Α What do you do there? Q I'm a project coordinator for Home Depot. 10:22:50 10 Α 11 Do you have a family? Q 12 Α Well, my mother lives there with me now. 13 What about children? Q My children, they're both young adults now, and they live 14 Α in New Jersey. 10:23:07 15 16 What are their names? 17 My first son is Shomari and he's 27, and my second son Α is -- we call him by his middle name Malik, and he is 21. 18 And you say they both live in New Jersey? 19 Yes, they do. 10:23:25 20 Α What do they do? 21 Q 22 Well, Shomari graduated college about two years ago with a 23 business degree. I believe a minor in accounting. And Malik 24 graduated high school, went to college for music for 10:23:47 25 production, end up getting two good jobs and he couldn't

# Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 77 pf \$31

### DIRECT EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNAI BOOKER

10:23:52 1 resist, so decided to stop for a while, but has assured me 2 that he is going to go back. 3 Do you stay in touch with your boys? Absolutely. 10:24:03 Sheri, let's talk about some of the things that you like 6 to do in your spare time. 7 Do you have any hobbies? 8 First and foremost, I love to spend time with family and 9 friends, as much as I can. That is very important to me. 10:24:23 10 Outside of that, sometimes I do community work with putting 11 care packages together for, like, the homeless. I sing. I do 12 plays in the community center. Basically around like 13 bullying, stuff like that. Things that -- trying to -- try to empower those that don't think they have a voice. 14 Now, Sheri, let's talk about your G2 IVC filter. 10:24:48 15 16 According to records and the evidence, you received 17 the G2 filter in June of 2007? 18 Α Yes. Can you tell us what was going on with you at that time 19 that led you to see Dr. Marcus D'Ayala. Is that his name? 10:25:06 20 21 Yeah, I believe so. I don't remember him much, but I 22 believe so. 23 Tell us about what was happening with you. 24 At which time I was hemorrhaging severely internally and I

was diagnosed with cancer. And at the time, they really did

10:25:24 25

# Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 78 pf \$81

### DIRECT EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNAI BOOKER

10:25:27 1 not know what type of cancer it was. I was told by my doctor 2 that I needed to be rushed to the hospital because of the 3 hemorrhaging, and I was taking blood thinners, that it was 4 important for me to get to the hospital immediately to do 10:25:50 5 emergency surgery. And eventually were you referred to a doctor for the 6 7 filter? 8 I was admitted into the hospital, and I eventually was 9 told that I needed a filter, that they would not touch me for treatment at all unless I had this filter placed in. 10:26:10 10 11 And did you have the filter? 12 I had the -- at the point, I was just thinking of treatment. I just wanted to survive. So whatever it took at 13 that moment to go to the next step, I was willing to do it. 14 If the doctors told me I needed to stand and hop on one leg 10:26:31 15 16 ten times and that would help me with treatment, then I would 17 do that. So when they told me I needed this filter, I agreed to the filter. 18 19 And did life go on after that? Yes. It did. I was treated for several months for chemo 10:26:48 20 21 and radiation, and life went on. 22 All right. At some point in time did you learn that your 23 filter was causing problems? That you had a problem with your 24 G2 filter? 10:27:12 25 Α Well, I ultimately learned later on in 2014.

# Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 79 pf \$31

### DIRECT EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNAI BOOKER

And what happened during that period of time? 10:27:16 1 Q 2 Well, throughout the years I was having abdominal pain and 3 chest pain --But --10:27:26 -- prior to that. Α 6 Eventually you saw doctors, and was there some type of Q 7 imaging studies done in 2014 --8 Yes. Α -- where you learned about your filter? 10:27:36 10 The end of June, I started to have excruciating pain. 11 ultimately went to the ER, and from there I was referred to a 12 urologist and radiologist. And where were you at that time living when you were 13 referred to the urologist? 14 I was living in Duluth, Georgia. 10:27:59 15 Α 16 Where did you see the urologist at? 17 Α In Georgia. I believe a part of Gwinnett Medical Center. And did the urologist find any problems that you were 18 19 having? 10:28:19 20 Yes. He had told me that I had had a kidney stone. However, he also told me that they had found something in my 21 22 abdomen and in my heart, and that I needed to see the 23 radiologist -- sorry, I don't want to get the names wrong --24 and I did make my appointment because it was very important 10:28:52 25 that I go.

# Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 80 pf \$31

### DIRECT EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNAI BOOKER

Did you make an appointment? 10:28:53 1 Q 2 Α Actually, my appointment was the very next day. 3 And who was that with, Brandon Kang? That was with Dr. Kang, yes. 10:29:01 5 All right. So take us to that day with Dr. Kang. Did you 6 meet him at his office, or where did you meet him? 7 I met him in Gwinnett Medical Center in the outpatient 8 area of where they would prep people for surgery and/or where 9 people recover from surgery. 10:29:21 10 And did you go someplace to talk with Dr. Kang? 11 I was actually -- I was led to a room where patients would 12 go to be prepped. It was an actual room that they would go to get prepped for surgery. 13 THE COURT: Mr. O'Connor, we're going to take the 14 morning break at this time. 10:29:45 15 16 Ladies and gentlemen, we will resume at 10:45. We 17 will excuse you. (Recess taken from 10:30 to 10:45. Proceedings resumed 18 19 in open court with the jury present.) 10:46:45 20 THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. 21 You may continue, Mr. O'Connor. 22 MR. O'CONNOR: Thank you, Your Honor. 23 BY MR. O'CONNOR: Sheri, we were talking about your first meeting with 24 10:46:51 25 Dr. Kang. Can you take us to that day. And just so that

# Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 81 pf \$91

### DIRECT EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNAI BOOKER

we're clear, it looks as though you first saw Dr. Kang for a 10:46:55 1 2 consult in June 2007; is that correct? 3 That sounds about right, yes. Α And tell us about that day. 10:47:10 5 Let's step back just so we have your history down. 6 Did you go to the emergency room at some point before you saw 7 Dr. Kang? 8 Yes, I did. Which emergency room that was? 10:47:21 10 Α Gwinnett Medical. 11 And from there you saw a urologist? Q 12 Α Yes. 13 And where was that at? Q Gwinnett Medical. 14 Α And then you actually saw Dr. Kang at a different office? 10:47:34 15 16 Or where did you see Dr. Kang at for the first time? 17 Well, I went for some, like, scans first. Had some 18 testing first. Had to have a scan? 19 10:47:53 20 Yes. And then I went for, I believe -- I guess it was my 21 follow-up to see Dr. Kang. And do you remember when you first saw Dr. Kang? 22 Q 23 Α Yes, I do. 24 Q When was that? 10:48:14 25 Α I believe it was that July date. I know I had -- I went

# Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 82 D1 & 31

### DIRECT EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNAI BOOKER

on the 3rd, because the urologist, I think, was the 2nd. And 10:48:19 1 2 then I went to see Kang, or went to his office for the 3 testing, and then I went after that couple of days later. 4 Maybe about a week, five days, something like that, to see him 10:48:36 for the results. 6 Q Okay. And when you went to Dr. Kang's office for the 7 results, did you go to his office? 8 I went to that outpatient service area that I had told you 9 about. 10:48:49 10 And tell us where you met Dr. Kang. Can you describe the area where you met with him? 11 12 A Yes. It was an area where they prep people for outpatient 13 surgeries, and it was one of the actual rooms. Like they can close the curtain for privacy. 14 Did you have any idea what you were going to talk to 10:49:06 15 16 Dr. Kang about? 17 I just thought it was the results, that he was going to give me the results of what was -- what the scan --18 Take us to that. Dr. Kang, did he come into a room to 19 10:49:20 20 talk to you? 21 No. He was actually in the room waiting for me, which is 22 not normal to me. Normally you go into a room or office and 23 then the doctor comes in later. But he was waiting for me 24 when I got there. 10:49:38 25 Q And did he have a discussion with you? What did he do?

### DIRECT EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNAI BOOKER

Well, first he just had a look of concern on his face, and 10:49:45 1 Α 2 the first thing he asked me was how was I feeling and asked --3 he asked me if I was still having pain or discomfort. What did you tell him? 10:50:02 I told him yes, I was still having pain. Α 6 And then what happened? Did he show you any studies? Q. 7 Α Actually, no. He asked me to sit down. And when I sat 8 down, he told me that he wanted to show me something, that he 9 knew why I was having pain. 10:50:25 10 Did he show you something? 11 Yes. At that point he turned on this -- I don't know the 12 technical term. This monitor where you can see, like, your X-rays and scans and stuff like that. He turned it on and --13 And what did he show you? 14 Q 10:50:42 15 He showed me a film of my heart and of my abdomen. Α 16 And did he talk to you about it? 17 He proceeded to tell me -- show me about my filter. And Α he said, "You've had a filter placed in 2007." 18 I said, "Yes." 19 10:51:03 20 He showed me. He said, "Well, Ms. Booker, it's 21 broken. Several pieces are next to the device, but a piece 22 has gone to your heart." 23 And how did you feel when you learned that? 24 I was in shock. I was in disbelief. And I actually 10:51:35 25 started to look around the room to see if there was like a

## DIRECT EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNAI BOOKER

0:51:39	1	candid camera, a bad joke, because I couldn't fathom what he
	2	was really telling me.
	3	Q Had you even ever thought about that filter before you saw
	4	Dr. Kang in 2014?
0:51:53	5	A Not at all.
	6	Q So did Dr. Kang have a discussion with you about anything
	7	that needed to be done medically about the filter?
	8	A He made it very clear to me that the pieces need to be
	9	removed as soon as possible. And the reality that I knew it
0:52:18	10	was not a joke or bad dream was the simple fact that he had
	11	then told me he was going to contact a heart surgeon.
	12	Q Did he tell you why?
	13	A He told me that he wanted to contact the heart surgeon to
	14	see when I can get in to have surgery, open heart surgery, but
0:52:45	15	that he was also going to discuss with them together the best
	16	way to remove these pieces of the filter out of me.
	17	Q Did he talk to you at that meeting, the first meeting
	18	where he showed you the filter on an imaging study, did he
	19	talk to you about the types of procedures that might be
0:53:10	20	available to remove the filter and the broken pieces?
	21	A I at this point I think I just broke down, and I don't
	22	think at that moment he really described the types of
	23	procedures. He just told me I needed to see the cardiac
	24	surgeon. And then he asked me if I had come alone to the
0:53:42	25	visit. And I did. I did. And then he told me I could not

# Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 85 pt \$31

### DIRECT EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNAI BOOKER

leave without someone coming to get me. At which point I was 10:53:49 1 2 crying, I was trying to grasp everything he was telling me. 3 A nurse came in and asked me if I needed pain meds 4 and/or if I needed a sedative. At that point I was just --10:54:17 What did you say? 6 I had already -- I was still taking pain meds from the ER 7 and I didn't want to take another one. 8 Did you contact anybody that day, Sheri? I had called my son, but he was at work and so I couldn't 10:54:38 10 locate him immediately. So the next person that I could think 11 of was one of my girlfriends that I knew. She kind of worked 12 part-time. So I called her. 13 Why did you call her? 14 Well --Α 10:54:51 15 Was that at the request or suggestion of Dr. Kang? 0 16 Yes. He told me I needed to have someone come and pick me Α 17 up. And why did he tell -- why -- what was your understanding 18 as to why someone had to come and get you? 19 10:55:09 20 Well, while we waited for either my girlfriend or my son to come get me, he had started to point to the piece that was 21 22 in my heart and he showed me that it looked like a 23 double-edged sword or needle and that both edges were pointy. 24 He had then told me that if it moved in any way, that it could 10:55:48 25 puncture my heart and ultimately kill me.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNAI BOOKER

		A
0:55:50	1	He told me that the reason he did not want me to be
	2	alone until I could get surgery was because if I was with
	3	someone and something happened, one, they can call ahead to
	4	the nearest emergency room to my home, which was Gwinnett
0:56:09	5	Medical, so they can let them know what was going on and they
	6	would drive me to the ER and not wait for an ambulance because
	7	it was a certain amount of timing that if I needed emergency
	8	open heart surgery, I needed to get to the hospital
	9	immediately.
0:56:32	10	Q So did you call your friend?
	11	A Yes.
	12	Q How were you feeling when you heard that you were going to
	13	have to talk about having a surgery to have the filter
	14	removed?
0:56:44	15	A I was extremely scared. The first thing that came to my
	16	mind were my children. I think with me, any parent, that's
	17	the first thing you think of. You think of your family, your
	18	children, your responsibilities. So I thought about that.
	19	I thought about, oh my God, am I gonna die? I
0:57:07	20	thought about what is my life going to be like? Am I going to
	21	survive? I thought about until the surgery, if something
	22	happened, would I make it to the hospital in time? I thought
	23	about the fact that I had to put a burden on someone else to
	24	babysit me. I'm a very private person. I'm a very
0:57:37	25	independent person.

# Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 87 pf \$31

### DIRECT EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNAI BOOKER

Did you eventually go home? 10:57:39 1 Q 2 Α Yes, I did. 3 And then you had an appointment with a cardiovascular doctor? 10:57:46 Yes, I did. Α 6 Q When did you go there? It sounds as though you saw 7 Dr. Kang for the consult on about July 3rd, 2014. Does that 8 sound right? Yes. July 23rd? Did you say? I'm sorry. Α 10:58:02 10 Q Pardon me? What date did you say? 11 Α 12 Q I had the consult down on July 3rd. 13 Α Yes. Same day. And then you went to the cardiovascular doctor, 14 Q 10:58:13 15 Dr. Langford. Does that sound right? 16 Dr. Harvey and Dr. Langford. Α 17 Q All right. And when did you see those doctors? A couple of days later. 18 Α Did you go to their office or --19 Q 10:58:27 20 Yes, I did. Α 21 Who did you meet with? Q 22 Α I met with both Dr. Harvey and Dr. Langford. 23 Q All right. And we heard from Dr. Harvey. 24 Tell us about that meeting. What happened during

10:58:41 25

that meeting?

# Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 88 **Df &81**

## DIRECT EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNAI BOOKER

0:58:43	1	A They were very comforting and reassuring and saying that
	2	they were going to put a plan together with Dr. Kang. They
	3	had also told me that, yes, the piece out of my heart needed
	4	to come out, that it could not be left in, and that I was a
0:59:01	5	very lucky person that I got to them in time.
	6	Q Did they describe procedures that you might be looking at
	7	when you returned to have your surgery?
	8	A Yes, they did. They told me that they were going to let
	9	Dr. Kang go in through my neck and through my groin area to
0:59:30	10	try to get the pieces out because they didn't want me to have
	11	a large or evasive, I don't know if I'm saying that right,
	12	surgery. So they wanted me to have something less than
	13	evasive, if I'm saying it correctly. So they were going to
	14	try to take steps for me to have the surgery, that it would be
0:59:54	15	easier for me to heal and possibly not have open heart
	16	surgery, which I would rather that.
	17	Q So was this the first time that you had a discussion with
	18	doctors about the type of procedures, the options that you
	19	had?
1:00:12	20	A Yes.
	21	Q And did they set up a plan for when you would have the
	22	surgery?
	23	A I think they told me to go home and they would call me
	24	because they were trying to get me in as soon as possible.
1:00:29	25	Q When you learned that you would have to have the

### DIRECT EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNAI BOOKER

11:00:32 1 procedures to remove it -- and they were talking to you about 2 two possible procedures; is that right --3 Α Yes. -- how did you feel at that time? 11:00:42 I was petrified. I was still scared. I was nervous. was --6 7 Did you -- did you tell your family about what was going 8 on? 9 Oh, I had to at that point. I wanted to let them know what was going on. I felt my family had a right to know in 11:00:58 10 11 case anything should happen. I wouldn't want them to have any 12 bad surprises. I just wanted to let them know what to expect at that point. 13 Where were your sons living at that time? 14 Shomari was living with me and Malik was living in New 11:01:13 15 Α 16 Jersey with his father, finishing high school. 17 And did you go home and talk to Shomari? Yes. We spoke about it, and between him and friends and 18 neighbors, they all took turns. They all got their schedules 19 11:01:38 20 and phones out and calendars and all decided who could be with 21 me at which point in time. And --22 And how was Shomari during the time when you came back 23 from Dr. Harvey and Dr. Langford until you had your surgery, 24 which the records indicate was about July 23rd, 2014? So you 11:02:03 25 were home for a while; is that right?

# Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 90 pf \$31

### DIRECT EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNAI BOOKER

11:02:05 1 Α Yes. 2 Q And Shomari was living with you? 3 Α Yes. And how was he during that time? 0 11:02:13 Unfortunately, Shomari was very nervous and scared, to the 6 point where he had asked me if he could sleep in my bedroom 7 when he was home with me. Because I guess he was scared in my 8 sleep if the piece moved, he wanted to make sure he could get 9 me to the hospital in time. He would -- of course I told him 11:02:36 10 no. So what he would do is walk into my room at all hours of 11 the night and touch me or feel under my nose to make sure I 12 was breathing. 13 Were you able to sleep during that time? Mostly, no. I wanted to be up if something happened to 14 make sure I could tell somebody I felt something. 11:03:01 15 16 Talk to us about the day you went to -- is it Gwinnett 17 Medical Center? 18 Α Yes. And is that where you went to have the procedure? 19 11:03:15 20 Yes. That's the day I went for Dr. Kang to see if he can Α 21 go through my neck or my groin area to remove the filter and 22 the pieces. 23 Were you actually admitted that day for the procedure? 24 Well, at first I came in as an outpatient surgery, so I 11:03:34 25 was not admitted.

## Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 91 pf & 91

### DIRECT EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNAI BOOKER

All right. And where was the -- did Dr. Kang actually 11:03:36 1 Q 2 have you prepped, prepared for his procedure? 3 Yes, he did. Α Where was that at? 11:03:47 5 In Gwinnett Medical. In the same outpatient service I had 6 gone to meet him for the results. 7 And so you went there on July 23rd, 2014, for Dr. Kang to 8 perform the procedure? 9 Yes. Α How did you feel about the discussion you had between 11:04:04 10 Dr. Kang, Dr. Harvey, and Dr. Langford that they were going to 11 12 go through your neck? Did that sound like a decent option? 13 It had seemed like a better option than going directly to my heart. And I was very hopeful. And, so, yes. I had 14 11:04:25 15 had -- I really didn't want to have open heart surgery because 16 my grandmother died of open heart surgery. My father's 17 mother. So at that point I was petrified. I would rather them go through my neck, my groin. It just seemed a lot --18 So you got to Dr. Kang's, to the outpatient procedure, and 19 11:04:50 20 then did they prep you for his procedure? 21 Α Yes. 22 Did they actually give you -- were you put under and --23 while the procedure was going on? 24 Yes. I remember I was in the bed laying down. A nurse 11:05:06 25 came and put the IV in and gave me something to relax me, and

# Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 92 pf 131

#### DIRECT EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNAI BOOKER

11:05:10 1 they told me I was going to start to get sleepy to go to the 2 ER, at which point --3 Did Dr. Kang meet with you that morning? Α Yes, he did. 11:05:22 And did he talk to you about what he was going to do? Yes, he did. 6 Α 7 And did he reassure you? Did he talk to you and tell you 8 everything that was going to happen that day? Yes, he did. Α 11:05:36 10 And so obviously the -- you were under and you didn't know 11 what was going on. After the procedure, what's your first 12 memory? My first memory was being put back into one of those rooms 13 in the same area and my name was being called, "Ms. Booker, 14 Ms. Booker." And I woke up and I saw Dr. Kang. And he didn't 11:05:58 15 16 have a smile on his face, so I knew something was wrong. He 17 then told me that he was successful in removing the large part of the filter. 18 19 Where? Did he tell you --In my groin. 11:06:21 20 Α 21 He went down to pull the filter out of your vein? 22 Yes. I don't remember if he got it out the neck or the 23 groin, but he had told me he had been able to get that 24 particular piece out.

11:06:34 25

Q

Did he get the filter out?

# Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 93 pf 131

### DIRECT EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNAI BOOKER

He got the filter out. 11:06:35 1 Α 2 And then there was a piece other than the piece in your 3 heart? Well, he told me that he was able to get one other piece 5 out, but there was another piece still left in my vein, which 11:06:44 was --6 7 And so --8 -- upsetting. Α -- what did he say about that piece left in your vein? He had told me at that point that it might have been best 11:06:57 10 Α 11 to just leave it there. 12 And then did he also tell you about the procedure that he did to try to get the piece out of your heart? 13 He said that he had tried to go in and remove it but he 14 just wasn't able to do it and that there was some difficulty. 11:07:18 15 16 And did you learn about any difficulties you had during 17 the procedure or after the procedure? I don't really remember, to be honest with you. I think I 18 was groggy and sleepy still and I was just trying to 19 11:07:38 20 understand as much as I could. The only thing I understood, that I needed to have open heart surgery. 21 22 Q Okay. So you went in to see Dr. Kang with the 23 understanding he was going to try to remove the filter out of 24 your vein, the vena cava, and two broken pieces that were in 11:08:02 25 that location; right?

# Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 94 pf 131

### DIRECT EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNAI BOOKER

11:08:04 1 Α Yes. 2 And that he was also going to go in and attempt to remove 3 the piece that was in your heart. Α Yes. 11:08:12 And what he told you afterwards was that while he was able 6 to get the remaining filter and one of the broken pieces, two 7 remained in you, including the one in your heart? 8 Yes. Α Did you have any idea where in your heart that piece was? Α I don't remember. 11:08:33 10 11 What about the piece in your vein, did you have any idea 12 where that was at that time? The piece in my vein was still in my vena cava. 13 Where did -- what happened next? Did you go somewhere 14 else? Did they take you somewhere? How did they deal with 11:08:47 15 you at that point? 16 17 No. I recalled seeing Dr. Harvey and I believe 18 Dr. Langford. They came. They came immediately after you woke from surgery? 19 11:09:07 20 I don't remember. I know -- I remember seeing them there. Α I don't remember if it was right after or during. I know that 21 22 at that point they told me that I could not go home. 23 Who? Dr. Harvey or Dr. Kang? 24 I think Dr. Kang told me. He admitted me into the

11:09:26 25

hospital at that point.

# Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 95 pf 131

### DIRECT EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNAI BOOKER

11:09:27 1 So was there a point you were talking to all of the 2 doctors together? 3 I remember that. Α And when Dr. Harvey and Dr. Langford came to see you, what 5 did they say? Do you remember at all? 11:09:37 6 They tried to reassure me that they were going to go in 7 and take this piece out of my heart and -- tried to comfort 8 me, let me know that they were going to schedule my surgery as 9 soon as they could get me on the schedule, within the next couple of days, but that I could not go home. 11:10:00 10 11 Sheri, before you went in for Dr. Kang's procedure and the 12 day that you met Dr. Harvey with Dr. Langford, did they 13 indicate to you that they thought Dr. Kang's approach would be the right approach but they would be available to Dr. Kang if 14 necessary? 11:10:20 15 16 Yes, they did tell me that. 17 And when you came out of surgery and you woke up after Dr. Kang's procedure, they were there? 18 Yes. Like I said, I remember them, seeing them there 19 Α 11:10:32 20 together. 21 So were you moved to a different location? Or tell us 22 what happened to you from that point. 23 Well, they told me that I was going to be admitted, so 24 they took my belongings and admitted me to the floor where 11:10:53 25 people would have heart surgery.

# Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 96 pf 121

### DIRECT EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNAI BOOKER

11:11:00 1 And did they move you to a part of the hospital that --2 where were you admitted to? 3 I was admitted to I believe it was the cardiac floor. Α And how long were you there before Dr. Harvey's procedure? It was a couple, maybe three days. Three to four days. 11:11:13 6 Something like that. 7 Q Did Dr. Harvey come and see you during those days? 8 Every day. Couple times a day. Α And when he saw you, would he talk to you? 11:11:30 10 Α Yes. 11 How did he make you feel? Q. 12 He made me feel like he cared. Like he understood why I 13 was upset. Because he's used to it, you know. He knows. But to let me know he's done this several times and that he's 14 going to do his best to get me home to my kids, make sure I go 11:11:48 15 16 back home. 17 When you learned that Dr. Kang couldn't get the piece out of your vena cava and the other piece out of your heart, how 18 did you feel? 19 11:12:10 20 I was upset. Distraught. I was hurt. All I could think about was the fact that my grandmother died of open heart 21 22 surgery, so that was not a very good experience for me. I 23 knew how I felt losing her, so all I could think about was my 24 children. They still need their mother regardless. They're 11:12:35 25 young still.

# Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 97 pf 131

#### DIRECT EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNAI BOOKER

11:12:37	1	Q And when you went over to the hospital and you were
	2	admitted, did anybody come to see you before your procedure
	3	with Dr. Harvey?
	4	A I had family. My brothers came, one of my best friends
11:12:54	5	came that I've known since like second or third grade. He's

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

11:13:18 10

11:13:41 15

11:13:55 20

11:14:13 25

came that I've known since like second or third grade. He's like a brother to me. I call him my brother. He's actually the godfather to my children. My mother came. One of my brothers came from Boston, my oldest brother. And I needed to see them because I didn't know if I was going to go back home.

And you just try to be responsible and let everybody know your passwords or your accounts, where things are. I told my two sons — my other son came from Jersey. I told him where everything was, all my paperwork was, where I kept their stuff, you know, memorabilia and stuff like that. I just wanted everybody to have what was needed in case I didn't make it back.

- Q During the time that you were in the hospital waiting for Dr. Harvey's procedure, was there any concern to address any issues with your heart at that time?
- A My heart kept having I think they called it AFib, where it just beats rapidly, irregularly. They kept doing a bunch of tests. They tell you to rest, and five minutes later you're being rolled into another room or they come get more blood, stuff like that.
- Q So while you were waiting for your surgery, were you

### DIRECT EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNAI BOOKER

- undergoing testing for your heart and other things?
- A Yes.

11:14:17

11:14:32

11:14:56 10

11:15:14 15

11:15:37 20

11:16:06 25

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

- Q Tell us about the day of Dr. Harvey's surgery. Looks as though it was July 28th. Does that sound about right? 2014.
  - A Yes, it does.
  - Q Tell us about that day before the surgery.
  - A Well, my family came into the room and they kept saying you're tough, you can do this. Anybody's family would tell them that. That they loved me. I made sure I told them I loved them. And they said we're going to see you after the surgery, just stay positive, have faith. And then they took me into the surgery.
  - Q And what about Dr. Harvey, did he come in and talk to you before the surgery?
  - A Oh, absolutely.
  - Q Did you have an understanding from Dr. Harvey what was going to happen to you during the procedure?
  - A At that point, they had told me they were going to put me on they had to stop my heart in order to do the surgery and that they had to put me on a breathing machine and that I was going to be on several other machines in order for them to do the surgery. That was the only way that they can do it.

Which the average person is only going to know what they know from television. You don't know how to take it.

You know you need it. You don't want it. But you have to.

# Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 99 pf 131

### DIRECT EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNAI BOOKER

just trusted that they would do the surgery and be successful. 11:16:13 1 2 Q Were you scared? 3 Yes. Scared as I've ever been in my life. Α Did you have your family there and friends? 11:16:33 Α Yes, I did. 6 So when you had the procedure for -- with Dr. Harvey, do 7 you know how long it lasted? 8 I don't remember exactly. I know it was a long time. I don't know. I think it was more than four, five hours, 11:16:52 10 something like that. 11 Do you have any idea how long that the machine that your 12 heart was stopped from beating -- how long your heart was stopped? Excuse me. 13 I don't remember. I don't want to remember. 14 Well, what do you remember after the surgery? 11:17:12 15 16 I don't really remember much. I don't know if it was the 17 same day or the day after, I remember hearing someone speaking to me and someone grabbing my hand. And I recognized my 18 oldest brother, Joseph. I call him Joey. He was grabbing my 19 11:17:44 20 hand and squeezing it and he was saying "Sis, I need you to fight." 21 22 And I remember trying to talk, but I couldn't because

23

24

11:18:04 25

breathing machine.

And I remember him starting to scream and yell for a

I had something in my throat. I think I was still on the

## Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 10010f7181

### DIRECT EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNAI BOOKER

nurse. And I kept trying to open my eyes, but it was hard.

Next thing I remember is hearing sounds of machines going off. Really loud. And I passed out. I don't remember what happened after that.

- Q Did you eventually find out what had happened to you?
- A My brother told me later on, the next day or couple days later, he was like, "You scared the hell out of me," and I didn't know what he was talking about. And he had told me that something had gone wrong with my heart, that that's why the machines were going off and that's what I heard, and that they had to come back in the room and stabilize me and give me blood transfusions and some other medications. And he said
- Q How long were you in the hospital?
- A Total?

that --

11:18:07

11:18:28

11:18:53 10

11:19:12 15

11:19:28 20

11:19:58 25

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

19

21

22

23

24

- Q For that -- following the surgery. Do you know?
- A Following the first one or the second --
- 18 Q Dr. Harvey's surgery.
  - A Dr. Harvey's surgery. Maybe five or six days.
  - Q And did they -- did eventually you have to go to some type of rehab, exercises, before you left?
  - A Yes. They -- they -- I had to be able to walk a couple of steps. And they brought in a rehabilitation therapist and they gave me this pillow that I had to hold a certain way across my chest. Doing anything. Getting up out of bed.

# Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 10110f7191

11:20:03

11:20:22

11:20:38 10

11:21:01 15

11:21:32 20

11:21:58 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

### DIRECT EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNAI BOOKER

Sitting up. Walking. It was a form of, I guess, a barrier to help me grip because it was -- they knew it was extremely painful.

And they had brought me this breathing device to breathe because I had to keep the little ball up to strengthen my lungs.

And several other things that I needed to do before I could leave the hospital. And by that time I wanted to just go home.

- Q Sheri, when you saw Dr. Kang and Dr. Harvey, did you find out that your filter -- did they tell you that your filter had -- you said it punctured -- that it actually perforated through the vein, the vena cava?
- A Yes. They had told me that some of the legs or arms or whatever it's called punctured through, and I think a piece had punctured through my aorta.
- Q Going back in time, on June 21st, 2007, when you had the G2 filter implanted, did you ever have any reason to expect that because of that filter you would wind up in a hospital to have surgeries, including a surgery to your heart?
- A Never. I explained earlier when I had gotten the filter they had told me I could not be treated at all until -- or even know what type of cancer I had until I had this filter.

  I was led to believe that this filter would save my life. I was never -- I didn't know anything about filters. I had

11:22:02

11:22:22

11:22:40 10

11:23:05 15

11:23:30 20

11:23:55 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

### DIRECT EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNAI BOOKER

never heard about a filter. And thinking back on that, I was
like, wow, the thing that was supposed to have saved me and
help me go through my treatment of cancer could have killed
me.

- Q Did you have any reason to think that -- to know that some day that filter was going to break in three places, perforate into your veins and travel to your heart and cause you to have to go through all these procedures?
- A Not at all. Not at all. I never gave it a thought.
- Q Let's talk about Sheri Booker and how she deals with issues like this.

What did you do after you got out of the hospital?

A Well, it was a strenuous process. I remember taking a lot of medication and sleeping a lot being home. I couldn't sleep the way I normally slept so — and the doctors told me I had to have a lot of cushions behind me, sort of to be like when the hospital bed has you sitting in an upright position, because it was easier on me to breathe. So I wouldn't have to struggle breathing.

So my mother had to give me sponge baths because I couldn't bathe myself. I couldn't lotion myself. There were a lot of things I couldn't do.

My mother had to assist me getting up. I had to make sure I could walk. One step felt like ten steps.

Q Did you set any type of goals for yourself?

### DIRECT EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNAI BOOKER

A Every day. I just -- I didn't want to be a burden on my family or my children. I didn't want my sons to see their mother weak because I've always been a strong person. So every day. If I took five steps, the next day I wanted to do six or seven steps. To the point where I was able to bathe myself, or at least sponge myself down, to the point where I was able to walk from my bedroom to the living room and the living room to the kitchen, and then I started walking around the apartment.

It almost felt like a prisoner in my own home. So I had to push through, regardless of what I went through. So I started walking around my apartment complex. Family and friends kept telling me come outside, get some fresh air, start living like you used to live, be a little bit more active. Which I did. So one day —

- Q Let me stop you one second, because I want to ask you to talk about that, but I also want to ask you, before we go and we begin to wrap this up and you tell us about how you overcame this, what happened to that cancer?
- A I survived it.
- Q Huh?

11:24:01

11:24:23

11:24:43 10

11:25:05 15

11:25:26 20

11:25:33 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

24

- 22 A I survived it. I'm a survivor.
- 23 Q Congratulations.
  - A Thank you.
    - Q Is that how you live your life?

### DIRECT EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNAI BOOKER

11:25:36 1 Α Cancer free. I do go every six months or so, whenever the 2 doctors tell me, to go get tested. But I am still cancer 3 free. Now, if anybody here ever wants to travel to Georgia and 11:25:49 5 they look on a map and there's something called Stone 6 Mountain, can you tell us about that. 7 Stone Mountain isn't really a mountain, it's a big old 8 rock. I guess that's why they say stone. And I believe it's 9 one of the biggest rocks in the country. It's one of the things that Georgia's known for. 11:26:08 10 11 Prior to the surgery, I had climbed Stone Mountain 12 several times. It was something to do for exercise. 13 exercise. I try to exercise at least three times a week. You know, the older you get, you want to make sure you stay 14 healthy. So that's what I did. 11:26:30 15 16 So about two, two and a half months after my surgery 17 and after walking around my complex, I had gone and sat by the pool and tried to enjoy that. Me and my same girlfriend, we 18 took a picture by the pool showing that I was coming back into 19 11:26:58 20 myself. 21 I decided to go to Stone Mountain. 22 Q Did you climb it? 23 Α I did. 24 How did you do? 0

It took me forever. But I did it.

11:27:07 25

Α

11:27:11

11:27:29

11:27:52 10

11:28:19 15

11:28:44 20

11:29:11 25

### DIRECT EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNAI BOOKER

And there were people there -- you talk to people, and I told them I had open heart surgery, so they kind of stayed with me. I don't think -- I don't know if they stayed with me to encourage me or if they stayed with me to make sure something wouldn't happen to me and to dial 9-1-1, but they stayed with me and that was important.

And I reached the top of that mountain, and that was the first time I felt like Sheri again. And that's important you get yourself back. That you don't feel like you're trapped. That I can move on. And I was — that was empowering to me as a mother, because now I can show my children that regardless of what you go through, you can move on. You can push through. You can live as normal life as you can. It was motivational for me to keep going. It was inspiring. I felt like, okay, it's going to be okay.

- Q Sheri, let me ask you, you're cancer free now, but that G2 filter, is there anything going on with you now from everything you had to go through?
- A Well, I've had -- I still get chest pain. I still get abdominal pain. I've gone to the doctor. I've gotten certain illnesses because of the open heart surgery.

As a matter of fact, when I had the open heart surgery, I still wasn't in the clear at first because I had gotten fluid in my lungs and they were going to do another procedure. And I had to go through tests. And when I — this

# Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 10610f8LB1

### DIRECT EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNAI BOOKER

is before Stone Mountain. About a week or two prior because I had two follow-up visits and the doctors were concerned that I had developed fluid in my lungs. And then they told me that I was going to have another procedure.

At this point I was procedured out. I was tired of needles and hospitals and doctors.

- Q So what happened to the fluid?
- A Well, thankfully, when I went in that Monday, I believe, they took more films and exams to see where they were going to puncture my lung to get the fluid out, and they realized that my body had absorbed it.

Oh my God. That felt like I had gotten a piece of heaven. It felt like, phew, not another procedure. Thank you. I was happy.

- Q Sheri, you still have a piece of that G2 in you; is that right?
- A That is correct.

11:29:17

11:29:34

11:29:56 10

11:30:14 15

11:30:28 20

11:30:48 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

- Q How does that make you feel? You wanted to get rid of that like you wanted to get rid of cancer, didn't you?
- A Yes. I wanted every piece out of me. And the fact that it's still in my vena cava, I live every day wondering if that piece is going to find its way into my heart like the other piece. There's never a day that doesn't go by that I don't think about it.

It affects what I do, where I go. I don't let it

## DIRECT EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNAI BOOKER

11:30:51	1	control me. However, I take precautions every day. Which
	2	means if I go to an event, if I go to see someone graduate, if
	3	I go like, I had to fly here to give my testimony. I
	4	Google where is the nearest hospital because I want to know
11:31:20	5	that if that piece moves, I know what hospital to go to. So I
	6	Google everywhere I go and I keep it on my phone.
	7	Q So did you Google Phoenix? I mean, do you know the layout
	8	here?
	9	A Actually, the closest hospital that my phone gave me,
11:31:40	10	that's what it gave me, it gave me St. Luke's. So I asked
	11	around about St. Luke's
	12	Q That's all right.
	13	A Oh.
	14	Q So you know where you have to go.
11:31:50	15	A Yeah.
	16	Q Sheri, you have medical bills, and I believe they've been
	17	stipulated into evidence. Real quickly, if we could look at
	18	Exhibit 4391.
	19	As I understand, those are stipulated. The
11:32:03	20	summary
	21	THE COURT: You should just move them into evidence,
	22	Mr. O'Connor.
	23	MR. O'CONNOR: All right. I will move medical bill
	24	summary, 4391, into evidence, Your Honor.
11:32:15	25	THE COURT: Any objection?

## DIRECT EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNAI BOOKER

1:32:17	1	MS. HELM: Your Honor, the agreement was the summary
	2	and the bills. So subject to the bills being tendered,
	3	there's no objection.
	4	MR. O'CONNOR: And we have the bills separately
1:32:27	5	marked, and I'll state what those are.
	6	2399. 2400. 2401. 2403.
	7	THE COURT: And you're moving all of those in?
	8	MR. O'CONNOR: Yes, Your Honor.
	9	THE COURT: Any objection?
1:32:46	10	MS. HELM: No, Your Honor.
	11	THE COURT: All right. Those exhibits, including the
	12	summary, are admitted.
	13	(Exhibits 4391, 2399, 2400, 2401, 2403 admitted.)
	14	MR. O'CONNOR: If we may, Your Honor, may I display
1:32:55	15	and publish to the jury Exhibit 4391?
	16	THE COURT: You may.
	17	BY MR. O'CONNOR:
	18	Q Sheri, you had medical bills because of the G2 filter, to
	19	have it removed and receive treatment for it; is that right?
1:33:16	20	A That is correct.
	21	Q And as you look through Exhibit 4391, beginning with the
	22	different health care providers on the left, including the
	23	anesthesiologist, Gwinnett Consultants, Gwinnett Health
	24	System, Gwinnett Medical Group, MetroAtlanta Ambulance, North
1:33:36	25	Metropolitan Radiology, Piedmont Hospital, and Wellstar North

## DIRECT EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNAI BOOKER

11:33:40	1	Fulton, are those all places where you received care for
	2	treatment for the G2 filter?
	3	A Yes.
	4	Q And the total expenses that you had for treatment of your
11:33:50	5	filter are 282,102.99; is that correct?
	6	A 282,102.29. Yes.
	7	Q Thank you.
	8	MS. HELM: Your Honor, can we approach? We have an
	9	issue on this exhibit.
11:34:07	10	THE COURT: You may.
	11	Go ahead and stand up if you need to, ladies and
	12	gentlemen.
	13	(Bench conference as follows:)
	14	MS. HELM: He's using the wrong exhibit. We met
11:34:27	15	yesterday and conferred about the amount and plaintiffs
	16	admitted the 282 number is wrong and it's actually 267.
	17	MR. O'CONNOR: I didn't realize that adjustment
	18	wasn't made on the exhibit, Your Honor. I can correct that
	19	right now and say the actual total is less than that. The
11:34:44	20	adjusted exhibit
	21	THE COURT: Well, let's put the correct exhibit in.
	22	MR. O'CONNOR: Do we have the correct exhibit in?
	23	THE COURT: Is 4391?
	24	MS. HELM: I was just handed it without an exhibit
11:34:55	25	number today, so

## DIRECT EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNAI BOOKER

1:34:56 1	THE COURT: Let's make this 4391. Is that agreeable?
2	MS. HELM: Yeah, that's agreeable.
3	THE COURT: Okay. So go correct it in front of the
4	jury. Tell them that that's now 4391 with the new number. I
1:35:08 5	guess we're going to have to display that on an Elmo.
6	Traci, we'll need to display this different exhibit
7	on an Elmo because you don't have it electronically.
8	So let's make this 4391 and put it on the Elmo to
9	correct what the jury's seeing.
1:35:30 10	MR. O'CONNOR: I apologize. I didn't realize I
11	was reading from the screen.
12	(Bench conference concludes.)
13	MR. O'CONNOR: Greg, let's take that one off.
14	Oh, we do have the correct one on now.
1:35:44 15	I think we have the corrected version that we can
16	show on the regular screen now, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: All right.
18	MR. O'CONNOR: Would you prefer it be put on the
19	Elmo?
1:35:57 20	THE COURT: No. I just wanted the jury to see the
21	corrected version.
22	BY MR. O'CONNOR:
23	Q The first one was inaccurate. Your total bills are
24	actually 267,627.99; is that correct?
1:36:06 25	A That is correct.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNAI BOOKER

11:36:06	1	MR. O'CONNOR: And I apologize for the error in our
	2	document.
	3	So I move to admit now, Your Honor, 4391 as
	4	corrected.
11:36:17	5	THE COURT: All right. That is admitted as
	6	corrected.
	7	MR. O'CONNOR: Thank you.
	8	And I don't have any other questions, Your Honor.
	9	THE COURT: All right.
11:36:23	10	Cross-examination?
	11	MS. HELM: Your Honor, prior to beginning my
	12	cross-examination, I'd like to move to admit certain medical
	13	bills that have been stipulated to by the parties.
	14	THE COURT: Just one second.
11:37:04	15	Okay. Go ahead.
	16	MS. HELM: Move to admit Exhibits 6643, 6652, 6656,
	17	6660, 6667, 6669, 6681, 6683, 6693, 6696, 6699, 6741, 6748,
	18	6751, 6756, 6768, 6770, 6778, 6780, 2312, 6668, 2378, 6745.
	19	THE COURT: And you're moving those all in evidence?
11:38:19	20	MS. HELM: Yes, Your Honor.
	21	THE COURT: Is there any objection?
	22	MR. O'CONNOR: Well, as I understand, there was an
	23	agreement that the records would be redacted. It appears that
	24	the set that we have has not been
11.20.21	25	MS HFIM. The set for the Court and the set that

## Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 11210f9131

#### CROSS-EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNA BOOKER

will be displayed is redacted, Your Honor. 11:38:32 1 2 THE COURT: All right. 3 Any objection? 4 MR. O'CONNOR: No objection. MS. LOURIE: Are they all medical? We don't have all 11:38:46 5 6 of them. 7 MS. HELM: They're all medical records. 8 MR. O'CONNOR: No objection then. 9 THE COURT: Those exhibits are admitted. (Exhibits 6643, 6652, 6656, 6660, 6667, 6669, 6681, 6683, 09:25:03 10 6693, 6696, 6699, 6741, 6748, 6751, 6756, 6768, 6770, 6778, 11 12 6780, 2312, 6668, 2378, 6745 admitted.) 13 CROSS-EXAMINATION 14 BY MS. HELM: 11:38:56 15 Morning, Ms. Booker. Q 16 Α Morning. My name is Kate Helm. We previously met; correct? 17 18 Α Yes. When I took your deposition back in February 2017; is that 19 11:39:04 20 right? 21 Α Yes. 22 Are you feeling better today? You were having a rough day 23 that day. 24 Α Yes. 11:39:10 25 Q. You were suffering from some Georgia sinus infection and

#### CROSS-EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNA BOOKER

from a migraine headache; is that right? 11:39:15 1 2 Yes. 3 It's been tough for you to sit here and listen to people talk about your medical condition over the las week, hasn't 11:39:27 it? No. It's been tough to hear about Bard and what they knew 6 7 and didn't know. 8 You have a fairly extensive and complicated medical history, don't you? No. 11:39:35 10 Α 11 Q. You don't? 12 You have never been treated for cancer -- you have 13 been treated for cancer? Yes. I beat that in '07. 14 Α Okay. You've also had hernia surgery? 11:39:45 15 Q 16 Α Yes. 17 You've also had a pulmonary embolism? 18 Α Yes. You've also had sciatica? 19 11:39:57 20 Α Yes. 21 Q You also have had two heart attacks; correct? 22 Α No. 23 If you testified in your deposition that you had two heart 24 attacks, are you changing your testimony today? 11:40:07 25 Α Well, I learned differently from then until now when I

## Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 1141df 1281

#### CROSS-EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNA BOOKER

spoke with Dr. Patel, that made it clear to me they were not 11:40:10 1 2 heart attacks. Until you spoke to Dr. Patel, you reported to medical 3 providers that you had had heart attacks, didn't you? 11:40:22 That's how I had understood it, unfortunately. 6 And, in fact, what you understood it to be heart attacks 7 and what you've told your doctors were heart attacks, at least 8 one time was a very serious reaction to anesthesia, wasn't it? Dr. Patel told me it was anaphylactic shock. And that was anesthesia while you were having an 11:40:41 10 Q 11 appendectomy; correct? 12 Α Correct. 13 And during that appendectomy -- let me back up. Q 14 You've also had foot surgery, have you not? 11:40:55 15 Α Yes. 16 In 2006. In 2006, you fell at your apartment complex; 17 correct? 18 Α Yes. And as a result of that fall you broke your foot? 19 11:41:06 20 Α Yes. 21 Q And you had to have surgery from that; correct? 22 Α Yes. 23 And after that foot surgery, you were put on 24 anticoagulants, weren't you? 11:41:19 25 Α Yes.

#### CROSS-EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNA BOOKER

Because you had a history of pulmonary embolism; correct? 11:41:19 1 Q 2 I don't remember. But you agree with me that after your foot surgery in 3 December of 2006, you were put on anticoagulants? Yes. 11:41:39 6 You were down for a little while from the foot surgery, 7 couldn't get around; right? 8 Yes. I was in a wheelchair. How long were you in that wheelchair? 11:41:50 10 A Off and on for about three months. The wheelchair and 11 crutches. 12 You've also in the past had chest palpitations, haven't 13 you? 14 Α Yes. And when I deposed you, you couldn't tell me -- you 11:42:01 15 16 couldn't remember if those chest palpitations occurred before 17 you were diagnosed with cancer or after; correct? I think so. 18 Α After you broke your foot in December of 2006, in May of 19 11:42:21 20 2007 you went to see your gynecologist; correct? 21 Α Yes. 22 Q And his name is Dr. Dean Martin; correct? 23 Α Yes. Dean Martin. 24 We've chuckled because --Q

11:42:38 25

Α

That is correct.

#### CROSS-EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNA BOOKER

11:42:40 1 And when you saw Dr. Martin, you reported to him that you 2 were bleeding vaginally; correct? 3 Yes, I did. Α And you were concerned. You thought you were bleeding -it was bleeding as a result of the blood thinners or 11:42:49 anticoagulants; correct? 6 7 I didn't know what it was, I just know I was bleeding 8 internal -- bleeding. Hemorrhaging. Let me back up. I actually missed a date. Before you went to Dr. Martin, in May of 2007 you 11:43:03 10 11 actually passed out at work, didn't you? 12 Α Yes, I did. And let me make my time line back because I missed one. 13 In December of 2006 you had the foot surgery; 14 correct? 11:43:18 15 16 Α Yes. 17 And you were on anticoagulants? 18 Α Yes. In May of 2007, while you were on anticoagulants, you 19 passed out at work; correct? 11:43:27 20 21 Α Yes. And you actually worked at Lincoln Hospital in New York; 22 23 correct? 24 Α Yes.

And you went to the emergency room at Lincoln; correct?

11:43:34 25

Q.

#### CROSS-EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNA BOOKER

11:43:37 1 Α My own emergency room, yes. Your own hospital, your own emergency room. 2 Q 3 Α Yes. And when you were in the emergency room, they diagnosed 5 you as having another pulmonary embolism, didn't they? 11:43:45 6 I wouldn't say another. Sorry. I would say they 7 diagnosed me as having one. Yes. 8 So in May of 2007, while on anticoagulants, you were 9 diagnosed with having a pulmonary embolism; correct? Yes. 11:44:03 10 Α 11 And then, now we'll get back to Dr. Martin. Less than one 12 month later, in June of 2007, you went to see Dr. Martin 13 because you were bleeding vaginally; correct? 14 Α Yes. And Dr. Martin sent you to the hospital; correct? 11:44:18 15 16 Α Yes. 17 And he sent you to the hospital because he was -- he had diagnosed you as having a cervical mass; correct? 18 19 Α Yes. 11:44:34 20 So one month after you had the pulmonary embolism, you ended up in the hospital with vaginal bleeding and the 21 22 cervical mass; correct? 23 Α Yes. 24 And it was during that hospital stay or hospital admission 11:44:50 25 that they told you they were going to have to do a surgical

#### CROSS-EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNA BOOKER

11:44:54 1 biopsy; correct? 2 Yes. 3 So when you told us earlier that you had surgery for the cancer, it was -- that's what you were referring to; right? 11:45:02 The surgical biopsy? Yes. 6 Α 7 And before they could do that surgical biopsy, they had to 8 take you off your Coumadin; correct? Yes. Α 11:45:12 10 So less than a month after you'd been diagnosed with a Q pulmonary embolism, you had to go off the Coumadin; right? 11 12 Α Yes. 13 And that's when Dr. D'Ayala came in to implant the filter; correct? 14 11:45:32 15 Α Yes. And you don't remember any conversations with Dr. D'Ayala, 16 17 do you? I really don't. 18 Α You heard him testify here by video; correct? 19 11:45:44 20 Α Yes. And you heard him testify that he had discussed the risks 21 22 and benefits of the filter with you, didn't you? 23 Actually I heard him say it was my husband. Α 24 Okay. You don't remember any of those conversations? Q 11:46:01 25 Α To be honest, no, I don't.

## Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 11910f9131

#### CROSS-EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNA BOOKER

You don't remember whether you asked any questions? 11:46:03 1 Q 2 Α I don't. 3 You don't remember the implant procedure? I remember it was done. And actually you consented and signed a consent for that 11:46:14 6 implant, didn't you? 7 Yes, I did, after they told me they would not treat me 8 until I had it. And you don't remember whether you were told whether it 11:46:26 10 was a permanent filter or a retrievable filter, do you? 11 No, ma'am, I was concerned about the cancer. Α 12 And you don't remember whether Dr. D'Ayala told you to 13 follow up with him, do you? No. I don't. 14 Α But you never followed up with Dr. D'Ayala, did you? 11:46:41 15 0 16 I followed up with all my other doctors. I don't remember 17 seeing him again, though. You did not go back and see Dr. D'Ayala, did you? 18 Q I don't remember going to see him again. 19 Α 11:47:01 20 You went through chemo and radiation for approximately three months; is that right? 21 22 I think. So I know it was from, I think, July to the 23 first week of November of '07. 24 Plus or minus three months?

11:47:18 25

Α

Um-hmm.

#### CROSS-EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNA BOOKER

11:47:19 1 Q And during that chemo and radiation, you had PET scans; 2 right? 3 Α Yes. And you asked your doctors if they could see the filter on 11:47:27 the PET scan, didn't you? I don't remember. I might have. I don't remember. 6 7 If you testified in your deposition that you asked the 8 doctors if you could see the filter on the PET scan, you agree with that testimony; right? 11:47:42 10 Probably, yes. Α 11 Okay. Q 12 And at one point you asked them about the filter, 13 didn't you, because you were concerned about having a foreign 14 object in your body? 11:47:52 15 Α Yes. 16 You expect your doctors to be frank with you; correct? 17 Α Yes. And you expect them to -- if they recommend a film or 18 X-ray or scan, you expect them to read that X-ray or scan and 19 11:48:20 20 tell you what it says, don't you? 21 Α Yes. 22 Q And you rely on them to read X-rays and scans and to tell 23 you what's going on if they find anything; correct?

That's your expectation as a patient; correct?

24

11:48:34 25

Α

Q

Yes.

## Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 12110f9191

#### CROSS-EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNA BOOKER

11:48:38 1 Α Yes. 2 And, in fact, you have quit going to doctors because they 3 didn't properly evaluate a scan or a test; correct? Α No. You didn't quit going to see Dr. Martin because you felt 11:48:51 like he had missed your cervical cancer? 6 7 Α I didn't feel that, he told me that. 8 And after he told you that he missed your cervical cancer, you quit going to see him; correct? Actually, I told him I wanted to see another doctor 11:49:05 10 Α 11 because he missed it, yes. It's logical. 12 And as a patient, you have some responsibilities; correct? 13 Α Yes. You have a responsibility to provide adequate -- accurate 14 information to your doctors? 11:49:19 15 As best of my understanding, yes. 16 17 And you have a responsibility to ask questions and make sure you understand the treatment and the procedures taking 18 19 place? 11:49:31 20 Α Yes. And you have a responsibility to follow the doctors' 21 22 instructions or to accept the consequences for not doing that; 23 correct? 24 What do you mean by that? Α 11:49:46 25 Q If, for example, a doctors tells you to take a medicine

## Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 12210f01031

#### CROSS-EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNA BOOKER

11:49:49 1 and you don't take it, you have to accept the consequences you 2 might not get well. 3 Α Yes. And you testified earlier that you follow up on a regular basis about your cancer; correct? 11:50:00 Yes. 6 Α 7 So it's important if you're told by doctor to follow up, 8 to do those kinds of follow-ups; correct? Α Yes. And it's important, if you're concerned about something, 11:50:11 10 Q 11 to follow up with your doctor. 12 Α Yes. 13 You would agree with all of those? Q Yes. On a timely manner, yes. 14 Α After your cancer treatment, you had other treatment and 11:50:27 15 other hospitals visits in New York before you moved to 16 17 Georgia, didn't you? 18 Α Yes. Sometimes you were treated at New York Methodist Hospital 19 11:50:44 20 and sometimes you were treated at what you called "my hospital, " Lincoln Memorial; correct? 21 22 Α Yes. 23 And, in fact, in February of 2008, let me take you back in 24 time a little bit, after the cancer treatment, you went to 11:51:02 25 Lincoln Memorial Hospital; is that right?

## CROSS-EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNA BOOKER

11:51:06	1	A If it's on the record, yes.
	2	MS. HELM: Can you pull up 6656, please.
	3	BY MS. HELM:
	4	Q Ms. Booker, can you see on your screen
11:51:18	5	A There's nothing on there.
	6	Okay.
	7	Q Is this a medical record from Lincoln Memorial Hospital
	8	for an emergency admission for you?
	9	A Yes.
11:51:34	10	Q And if you look on page
	11	MS. HELM: Your Honor, may I display this? It's been
	12	admitted.
	13	THE COURT: You may.
	14	MS. HELM: Thank you.
11:51:40	15	MR. O'CONNOR: Hold it, Your Honor. May we approach
	16	the bench?
	17	THE COURT: Yes.
	18	Ladies and gentlemen, one more time. We'll only do
	19	this one more time before lunch. Feel free to stand if you
11:51:52	20	want.
	21	(Bench conference as follows:)
	22	MS. LOURIE: None of these are redacted.
	23	MS. HELM: We said birth date, address, social
	24	security number. Her birth date is redacted.
11:52:20	25	MS. LOURIE: There's a lot more that needs to be

## Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 12410f01281

#### CROSS-EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNA BOOKER

```
redacted subject to the motions in limine.
11:52:22
         1
         2
                       MS. HELM: There's no insurance information on here.
          3
               There's no billing. It's only the ER record. And this -- if
              you look on the screen, her birth date is gone. It's blank.
11:52:42
         5
                       MS. LOURIE: You didn't give us redacted copies, so
         6
              we don't have anything --
         7
                       MS. HELM: I understand. We'll -- we'll --
         8
                       THE COURT: That's fine.
         9
                       MR. O'CONNOR: We're fine with this.
11:52:51 10
                    (Bench conference concludes.)
        11
                       THE COURT: Thank you.
        12
              BY MS. HELM:
        13
                 Ms. Booker, this is a hospital admission for you at
              Lincoln Memorial -- Lincoln Medical on February 20th, 2008; is
        14
11:53:25 15
              that correct?
        16
              Α
                 Yes.
        17
                 And on that date you went in complaining of abdominal
              pain; is that right?
        18
        19
              A Yes.
11:53:38 20
                       MS. HELM: And, Scott, if you'll flip to the third
        21
              page.
        22
              BY MS. HELM:
        23
                  They ordered a CT scan; is that right? See where --
              Q
        24
              Α
                  Yes, I see it.
11:53:50 25
              Q.
                 When you went to Lincoln on February 20th, 2008, did you
```

## Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 12510f0131

#### CROSS-EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNA BOOKER

ask them to check on your filter? 11:53:54 1 2 MR. O'CONNOR: Objection, Your Honor. 403. There's 3 no claim that this is relevant to. THE COURT: Is this related to Dr. Amer? 11:54:09 5 MS. HELM: Yes, Your Honor, it's related to -- and 6 testimony by our expert. Yes. 7 THE COURT: Overruled. 8 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, can you repeat the question. 9 BY MS. HELM: When you went to Lincoln Memorial on February 28th, 2008, 11:54:17 10 11 did you ask them to check on your filter? 12 I don't remember. 13 And did you ask them what the CT scan showed? 14 A I don't remember. 11:54:33 15 But in any event, you have no memory of anyone discussing 16 with you your filter or whether there was anything about --17 MR. O'CONNOR: Objection, Your Honor. Can we approach? 18 19 THE COURT: No. MR. O'CONNOR: Well, this is not the record that is 11:54:43 20 21 part of the defense. 22 THE COURT: All right. We'll talk about at the lunch 23 break. 24 BY MS. HELM: 11:54:53 25 Q. Was there any discussion with you at all about your filter

## Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 12610f01/81

#### CROSS-EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNA BOOKER

February 28th, 2008? 11:54:55 1 2 I don't remember. I don't think there was, but I'm not 3 absolutely sure, so my best answer is I don't remember. You also went back to Lincoln on March 29th, 2009, didn't 11:55:11 you? 6 Exhibit 6667. 7 Α Yes. And you complained of headaches, right thigh pain, and 8 9 eventually a back pain; is that correct? 11:55:32 10 Yes. Α 11 And on March 29th, 2009, you were no longer taking 12 Coumadin, were you? 13 I don't remember. If I had stopped taking the Coumadin, 14 then that would mean I had a discussion with a hematologist 11:55:50 15 oncologist. He had done a genetic testing to make sure that I 16 was not predisposed to have clots and he had told me that I 17 was not, and at that point, that's what the filter was there for anyway, so I didn't need to take any other blood thinners. 18 So you told Lincoln you were no longer taking Coumadin, 19 11:56:16 20 but you told them you had a filter; correct? 21 I believe I did. Α 22 And on March 29th, 2009, they ordered an X-ray, didn't 23 they? 24 Can you show it to me? I don't see it yet. Α 11:56:35 25 Q 6668.

## Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 12710f0f31

#### CROSS-EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNA BOOKER

11:56:37 1 Do you see this? March 29th, 2009? 2 Α Yes, I see it. 3 They took an X-ray? Α Yes, ma'am. Q A radiologist by the name of Dr. Amer read that X-ray. 11:56:48 Do you see that? 6 7 Α Yes, ma'am. 8 And do you see where he says "IVC filter is noted"? Yes, I do see that. Α While you were treated a Lincoln Memorial Hospital on 11:57:03 10 Q March 26th, 2009, did anyone tell you anything about your IVC 11 12 filter? 13 No. Not that I remember. Α Did anyone tell you that, in fact, the X-ray showed that 14 the filter had fractured? 11:57:17 15 16 Α No, ma'am. 17 That's something you would have wanted to know, isn't it? 18 Α Yes. If you had been told in March of 2009 that there were 19 11:57:27 20 complications with your filter, you would have gone and followed up with a doctor, wouldn't you? 21 22 Α Yes. 23 Absolutely; right? Q 24 Α Yes. 11:57:37 25 Q And if you learned on March 29th, 2009, that the filter

## Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 10534 Filed 03/26/18 Page 12810f0161

#### CROSS-EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNA BOOKER

had an arm that was sticking up like this rather than down, 11:57:44 1 2 that is something you would want to know, wasn't it? 3 Α Yes. THE COURT: We can't hear you, Mr. O'Connor. 11:57:57 5 MR. O'CONNOR: Objection. Lack of foundation. 6 THE COURT: Overruled. 7 BY MS. HELM: But, in fact, you didn't learn on March 29th, 2009, that 8 the X-ray that Dr. Amer took showed that there were complications with your filter, did you? 11:58:13 10 11 Α No. 12 And, in fact, it looks like your treating doctors didn't 13 learn it either because all his report is says is "IVC is 14 noted"; correct? 11:58:26 15 Yes. It says "no evidence of fracture" right there. Α 16 In your back. Q 17 Α In where? In your back. This was a back X-ray. 18 Well, if it's the same thing you're asking me to read and 19 Α 11:58:39 20 you pointed out "IVC filter is noted," it says "Impression: No evidence of fracture." Is that what you're wanting me to 21 22 read? 23 No. It just says no -- "IVC filter is noted." Correct? 24 That's all it says. 11:58:53 25 Α That's not all it says, ma'am. It says "Impression: No

## CROSS-EXAMINATION - SHERR-UNA BOOKER

		4
11:58:56	1	evidence of fracture."
	2	Q Okay. So on March 29th, 2009, you were not told anything
	3	about your filter; correct?
	4	A Correct.
11:59:06	5	Q Okay. And if that X-ray on March 29th, 20 26th, 2009,
	6	showed when you looked at the X-ray that your filter was not
	7	in the condition it was in when it was originally implanted,
	8	that is something you would want to know, isn't it?
	9	MR. O'CONNOR: Objection. Asked and answered.
11:59:24	10	THE COURT: Overruled.
	11	THE WITNESS: Yes.
	12	THE COURT: All right. We're going to break for
	13	lunch at this time.
	14	Members of the jury, we'll plan to resume at
11:59:35	15	1 o'clock. We'll excuse you.
	16	(The jury exited the courtroom at 11:59.)
	17	THE COURT: Ms. Helm, you need to ask for exhibits to
	18	be displayed.
	19	MS. HELM: Oh. Thank you, Your Honor.
12:00:03	20	THE COURT: Those were not displayed to the jury.
	21	MR. O'CONNOR: Your Honor, the reason I was objecting
	22	before, there is no claim of comparative fault on this
	23	plaintiff in this case.
	24	THE COURT: Well, there is for Dr. Amer.
12:00:17	25	MR. O'CONNOR: For the doctor, but whether or not

```
what her conduct was or what she would expect is not relevant.
12:00:19
         1
          2
                        THE COURT: Well, I disagree with that. I think it
          3
               is relevant because you've been asking all throughout the
               trial what patients and doctors would expect, and there's also
12:00:31
          5
               an assumption of the risk defense in this case.
          6
                        The reason I didn't have you approach at sidebar is
          7
               nothing in those questions was objectionable.
          8
                        So those are the reasons for my rulings on those
          9
              matters.
12:00:48 10
                        Are there other matters that we need to take up
              before we break for lunch?
         11
         12
                        MS. HELM: I think I'm supposed to respond. No,
         13
               Your Honor.
         14
                        MR. O'CONNOR: Nothing.
12:00:59 15
                        THE COURT: Okay. Would you all please be sure to
         16
               confer about redactions so there's no uncertainty on that.
        17
                        MS. HELM: Yes, Your Honor.
                        THE COURT: Okay. We'll see you at 1 o'clock.
         18
         19
                    (End of transcript.)
         20
        21
        22
         23
         24
         25
```

CERTIFICATE I, PATRICIA LYONS, do hereby certify that I am duly appointed and qualified to act as Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court for the District of Arizona. I FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing pages constitute a full, true, and accurate transcript of all of that portion of the proceedings contained herein, had in the above-entitled cause on the date specified therein, and that said transcript was prepared under my direction and control, and to the best of my ability. DATED at Phoenix, Arizona, this 22nd day of March, 2018. s/ Patricia Lyons, RMR, CRR Official Court Reporter